Search for Spin-Dependent Short-Range Interaction with an $^3\text{He}/^{129}\text{Xe}$ Clock Comparison Experiment

Kathlynnne Tullney, Werner Heil, Sergei Karpuk and Yuri Sobolev
Institut für Physik, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, Germany

Fabian Allmendinger and Ulrich Schmidt
Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Germany

Published 29 February 2016

We performed an experiment to search for a new spin-dependent P - and T -violating nucleon–nucleon interaction $\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{r}$ which is mediated by light pseudoscalar bosons such as axions or axionlike particles. This interaction causes a shift $\Delta\nu$ in the precession frequency of nuclear spin polarized gases in the presence of an unpolarized mass. In order to measure this frequency shift a $^3\text{He}/^{129}\text{Xe}$ comagnetometer was used which is based on the detection of free precession of ^3He and ^{129}Xe nuclear spins using SQUIDs as detectors. For the upper limit of $\Delta\nu_{\text{sp}}$ we obtained 7.1 nHz. With this value, an upper limit of the scalar-pseudoscalar coupling of the axion to the spin of a bound neutron could be deduced within the axion mass window. For axion masses between 2 and 500 μeV , the laboratory upper bounds were improved by up to 4 orders of magnitude.

PACS numbers: 06.30.Ft, 07.55.Ge, 11.30.Er, 14.80.Va

1. Introduction

The standard model (SM) of particle physics describes all known particles and their interactions. However, the SM leaves many issues unresolved. For example, it only includes three of the four fundamental forces and does not answer the question why in strong interaction, due to its non-trivial vacuum structure (Θ -term), CP -violation is allowed in principle, but experimentally found to be extremely small or non-existent. The latter one is known as the *strong CP-problem* of quantum chromodynamics (QCD) and is solved by the Peccei-Quinn-Weinberg-Wilczek theory.¹ This theory predicts a new, light boson which is known as the axion. This hypothetical particle could have been created in early stages of the universe and since it is a light and weak interacting particle, it is an attractive candidate to the cold dark

This is an Open Access article published by World Scientific Publishing Company. It is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 (CC-BY) License. Further distribution of this work is permitted, provided the original work is properly cited.

matter that could compose up to 1/3 of the ingredients of the universe.² By cosmological and astrophysical observations the mass of the axion can be constrained from a few μeV to some meV (“*axion mass window*”). If the axion or any axion-like particle exist, then it mediates a CP violating, spin-dependent, short-range interaction between a fermion f and the spin of another fermion f_σ . The Yukawa-type potential of this monopole-dipole interaction with range λ is given by³

$$V_{\text{sp}}(r) = \frac{\hbar^2 g_s^f g_p^{f_\sigma}}{8\pi m} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{r}) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \right) e^{-r/\lambda}, \quad (1)$$

where $\vec{\sigma}$ is the spin vector and $\hat{r}$ is the unit distance vector pointing from the polarized fermion to the unpolarized fermion, respectively, from the polarized sample to the unpolarized sample. g_s^f and $g_p^{f_\sigma}$ are dimensionless scalar and pseudoscalar constants for the axion-fermion vertices, which in our case correspond to the scalar coupling of an axion or an axion-like particle to a nucleon ($g_s^f = g_s^N$) and its pseudoscalar coupling to a polarized bound neutron ($g_p^{f_\sigma} = g_p^n$). λ is the range of the Yukawa force with $\lambda = \hbar/m_a c$, m_a is the mass of the axion and m is the mass of the fermion f_σ . The potential given by Eq. 1 effectively acts near the surface of a massive unpolarized sample ($r \leq \lambda$), which is close to a massive nuclear spin polarized sample, as a pseudo-magnetic field. This may lead to a shift $\Delta\omega_{\text{sp}} = 2\pi\Delta\nu_{\text{sp}} = V_\Sigma/\hbar$ in the precession frequency of the nuclear spins, whereas the potential V_Σ is obtained by integration of $V_{\text{sp}}(r)$ from Eq. 1 over the volume of the massive unpolarized sample averaged over the volume of the polarized sample.

2. $^3\text{He}/^{129}\text{Xe}$ Clock Comparison Experiment

Our approach to search for non-magnetic spin-dependent short-range interaction is to use an ultrasensitive low-field comagnetometer which is based on simultaneous detection of free spin precession of gaseous, nuclear spin polarized ^3He and ^{129}Xe atoms. The Larmor frequencies of helium and xenon in a constant magnetic guiding field B are given by $\omega_{\text{L,He(Xe)}} = \gamma_{\text{He(Xe)}} \cdot B$, whereby $\gamma_{\text{He(Xe)}}$ are the gyromagnetic ratios of the according gas species. Hence, the influence of ambient magnetic fields cancels in the weighted difference of Larmor frequencies, respectively, the corresponding time integral, the weighted difference of Larmor phases

$$\Delta\omega = \omega_{\text{He}} - \frac{\gamma_{\text{He}}}{\gamma_{\text{Xe}}} \cdot \omega_{\text{Xe}} = 0, \quad \Delta\Phi(t) = \Phi_{\text{He}}(t) - \frac{\gamma_{\text{He}}}{\gamma_{\text{Xe}}} \cdot \Phi_{\text{Xe}}(t) = \text{const}. \quad (2)$$

For the gyromagnetic ratios of helium and xenon we took the literature values given by $\gamma_{\text{He}}/\gamma_{\text{Xe}} = 2.75408159(20)$.^{4,5} The quantities $\Delta\omega$ and $\Delta\Phi(t)$ are sensitive to anomalous frequency shifts due to non-magnetic spin interactions. According to the Schmidt model,⁶ in the nuclei of helium and xenon the spin of 1/2 is carried by a neutron only. Thus, the frequency shift $\Delta\nu_{\text{sp}}$ due to the spin-dependent short-range force (Eq. 1) is expected to be similar for ^3He and ^{129}Xe . Hence, the frequency shift $\Delta\nu_{\text{sp}}$ does not drop out in the weighted frequency, respectively, weighted phase difference (Eq. 2). A detailed description of this comagnetometer can be found in Gemmel et al..⁷

3. Experimental Setup

The experiment was performed inside the magnetically shielded room BMSR-2 at the Physikalisch Technische Bundesanstalt Berlin (PTB). BMSR-2 has a passive shielding factor, which exceeds 10^8 above 6 Hz. A homogeneous guiding magnetic field of about 350 nT - with maximum field gradients of about 33 pT/cm - was provided inside the shielded room by means of a square coil pair (B_x -coils). With a second square coil pair (B_y -coils) which was arranged perpendicular to the first one it was possible to manipulate the precession of the spin samples, e.g., $\pi/2$ spin flip by nonadiabatic switching.⁷ For detection of the spin precession we used a low- T_c DC-SQUID vector magnetometer system.^{8,9} The pick-up coils of the SQUIDS were sensitive to the vertical magnetic field component of the two precessing nuclear spin species (^3He , ^{129}Xe). The system noise of the SQUIDS was about $2.3 \text{ fT}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ in the range of the precession frequencies. Most part of the environmental noise was caused by Dewar vibrations relative to the B_x -coils. Here, combining two SQUIDS to a gradiometer helps to suppress this effect down to a level of $2.5 \text{ fT}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$. As gas container we used a cylindrical cell made of aluminosilicate glass (GE180) with a diameter of 58 mm and a length of 60 mm. ^3He and ^{129}Xe were nuclear spin polarized outside the shielding by means of optical pumping. Afterwards the cell was filled with a mixture of polarized ^3He , polarized ^{129}Xe ($\approx 2 \text{ mbar}$, $\approx 8 \text{ mbar}$) and N_2 ($\approx 35 \text{ mbar}$). The nitrogen was added to suppress xenon relaxation due to the van der Waals molecular coupling. After transportation of the measurement cell into the BMSR-2 the cell was mounted directly beneath the Dewar which houses the SQUIDS (see Fig.1). A cylindrical glass tube with a length of 1 m and an inner diameter of 60 mm was placed on a separate support with its axis aligned with the axis of the cylindrical measurement cell. At its open end towards the polarized sample cell a BGO-crystal ($\text{BGO} = \text{Bi}_4\text{Ge}_3\text{O}_{12}$, $\rho = 7.13 \text{ g/cm}^3$, diameter 60 mm and length 70 mm) was installed. The tube and with it the BGO-crystal could be moved horizontally from "close"-position to "distant"-position and vice versa, whereby the movement of the glass tube was possible without opening the door of the magnetic shielded room, i.e., without interruption of the ^3He and ^{129}Xe spin precession. At "close"-position we had a minimum gap of 2.2 mm between the BGO-crystal and the polarized gases. The BGO-crystal was used, since a non-conducting material prevented us from additional noise sources.

If the spin-dependent axion fermion interaction exist it will cause a shift $\Delta\omega_{\text{sp}}^w$ in the weighted precession frequency difference (Eq. 2) when the BGO-crystal is close ("close"-position) to the measurement cell. If the BGO-crystal is far away ("distant"-position) from the measurement cell this frequency shift should vanish. To measure this frequency shift $\Delta\omega_{\text{sp}}^w$, the measurement procedure was as follows: The spin precession signals were measured whereby the BGO-crystal was installed close to the cell ("close"-position). After 10800 s the BGO-crystal was moved away ("distant"-position). The spin precession signals were then measured again for about 21500 s. It was also possible to do measurements where the BGO-crystal was first in the "distant"-position and in the second part of the measurement in

Fig. 1. Sketch of experimental setup. The Dewar houses a total of 304 low- T_c DC-SQUID magnetometers which are divided up into 19 identical modules. The center of the cylindrical spin sample cell ($D = 60$ mm, $\varnothing_D = 58$ mm) has an average distance of $\bar{z} = 66$ mm to the sensors. The unpolarized mass (cylindrical BGO crystal: $d = 70$ mm, $\varnothing_d = 60$ mm) can be moved along the x-axis (B -field axis) to "close" ($\Delta x_c = 2.2$ mm) and "distant" ($\Delta x_d = 170$ mm) position and vice versa (see text). This is accomplished by a piston driven glass tube with the BGO fixed at its cell-facing side. The two arrangements left ($\mathcal{L}$) and right ($\mathcal{R}$) are used.

the "close"-position. These measurements were repeated several times whereby for systematic checks, the BGO-crystal could be placed left and right with respect to the $^3\text{He}/^{129}\text{Xe}$ sample cell.

4. Data Analysis and Results

A detailed description of the data analysis is described in *Gemmel et al.*¹⁰ and *Tullney et al.*¹¹ Briefly, for each measurement run – in total we did 10 runs – the accumulated phases and with it the corresponding phase difference $\Delta\Phi(t)$ was determined, which is not a constant in time, as Eq. 2 may suggest. Instead higher order effects, as Earth rotation, chemical shift and the generalized Ramsey-Bloch-Siegert-Shift,¹¹ have to be taken into account. These effects can be parameterized by

$$\Delta\Phi(t) = \Phi_0 + \Delta\omega_{\text{lin}} \cdot t - \epsilon_{\text{He}} \cdot T_{2,\text{He}}^* \cdot A_{\text{He}} \cdot e^{-\frac{t}{T_{2,\text{He}}^*}} + \epsilon_{\text{Xe}} \cdot T_{2,\text{Xe}}^* \cdot A_{\text{Xe}} \cdot e^{-\frac{t}{T_{2,\text{Xe}}^*}}. \quad (3)$$

If the pseudoscalar Yukawa potential $V_{\text{sp}}(r)$ (Eq. 1) would occur at an instant $t = t_0$ due to the movement of the BGO-crystal, an additional linear phase drift $\Delta\omega_{\text{sp}}^w \cdot (t - t_0) = 2\pi \cdot \Delta\nu_{\text{sp}}^w \cdot \Theta(\pm(t - t_0)) \cdot (t - t_0)$ in Eq. 3 is expected^a. The frequency shift $\Delta\nu_{\text{sp}}$ is then extracted from

$$\Delta\nu_{\text{sp}} = \frac{\Delta\omega_{\text{sp}}^w}{2\pi \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_{\text{He}}}{\gamma_{\text{Xe}}}\right)}. \quad (4)$$

^a($\pm$) in the argument of the Heaviside step function has to be set (-) for the sequence c $\rightarrow$ d and (+) for the reverse one d $\rightarrow$ c.

Fig. 2. The experimental 95% confidence upper limit on $|g_s^N g_p^n|$ plotted versus the range λ of the Yukawa-force with $\lambda = \hbar/(m_a c)$. The light gray area indicates the axion window. (1): result of Jenke *et al.*,¹⁴ (2): result of Bulatowicz *et al.*,¹⁶ (3): result of Youdin *et al.*,¹⁵ (4): this experiment ($\Delta x = 2.2$ mm), (5): expected results for $\Delta x \approx 0$ mm using $\delta(\overline{\Delta\nu}_{\text{sp}}) = \pm 7.1$ nHz demonstrates the gain in measurement sensitivity for $\lambda < 10^{-3}$ m. For bounds on the pseudoscalar short-range force between polarized electrons and unpolarized nucleons see Hoedel *et al.*¹⁷

For each measurement run $\Delta\nu_{\text{sp}}$ was determined. From the calculation of the weighted mean, one gets $\overline{\Delta\nu}_{\text{sp}} = (-2.9 \pm 2.3 \pm 0.1)$ nHz. The last value corresponds to the systematic error^b. The $\chi^2/d.o.f$ of the data to their weighted mean $\overline{\Delta\nu}_{\text{sp}}$ gives 2.29, indicating that the errors on the measured frequency shifts are somewhat underestimated. In order to take this into account, the errors were scaled to obtain a $\chi^2/d.o.f$ of one, as recommended, e.g., by.^{12,13} At the 95% C.L., our result for the measured frequency shift is

$$\overline{\Delta\nu}_{\text{sp}} = (-2.9 \pm 6.9 \pm 0.2) \text{ nHz} . \quad (5)$$

The result of $\overline{\Delta\nu}_{\text{sp}}$ indicates that we find no evidence for a pseudoscalar spin-dependent short-range interaction mediated by axions or axion-like particles. From the total error $\delta(\overline{\Delta\nu}_{\text{sp}}) = \pm 7.1$ nHz exclusion bounds for $|g_s^N g_p^n|$ could be derived by calculating V_Σ and using $|\delta(\overline{\Delta\nu}_{\text{sp}})| \geq 2 \cdot V_\Sigma / h$. The results are shown in Fig.2. We substantially improved the bounds on a spin-dependent short-range interaction between polarized (bound) neutrons and unpolarized nucleons over most of the axion window. Existing constraints on axions or axion-like particles heavier than 20 μeV could be tightened by up to four orders of magnitudes.

References

1. C.D. Peccei, H.R. Quinn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38**, 1440 (1977)
2. J. Jaeckel, A. Ringwald, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **60**, 405 (2010)

^bA detailed description of the calculation of the systematic error is given in Tullney *et al.*¹¹

3. J.E. Moody, F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. D **30**, 130 (1984)
4. International council for Science: Committee on Data for Science and Technology (CODATA), www.codata.org (2007)
5. M. Pfeffer and O. Lutz, J. Magn. Res. A **108**, 106 (1994)
6. Th. Schmidt, Zeitschrift für Physik A Hadronen und Kerne **106**, 358 (1937)
7. C. Gemmel *et al.*, Eur. Phys. Journal D **57**, 303 (2010)
8. D. Drung, Physica C **368**, 134 (2002)
9. M. Burghoff, IEEE Trans. App. Supercon. **17**, 846 (2007)
10. C. Gemmel *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 111901(R) (2010).
11. K. Tullney *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 100801 (2013)
12. The Particle Data Group, Phys. Lett. B **592**, 14 (2004)
13. W.H. Press, S.A. Tenkolsky, W.T. Vetterling and B.P. Flannery, *Numerical Recipes 3rd Edition: The Art of Scientific Computing.*, (Cambridge University Press, New York, 2007).
14. T. Jenke *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 151105 (2014)
15. A.N. Youdin *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 2170 (1996)
16. M. Bulatowicz *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 102001 (2013)
17. S.A. Hoedel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 041801 (2011)