Japanese Project Management

KPM — Innovation, Development and Improvement

Editor-in-Chief: Yasuhiro Monden (*Mejiro University, Japan*)

Published

- Vol. 1** Value-Based Management of the Rising Sun
*edited by Yasuhiro Monden, Kanji Miyamoto, Kazuki Hamada,
Gunyung Lee & Takayuki Asada*
- Vol. 2** Japanese Management Accounting Today
*edited by Yasuhiro Monden, Masanobu Kosuga,
Yoshiyuki Nagasaka, Shufuku Hiraoka & Noriko Hoshi*
- Vol. 3** Japanese Project Management:
KPM — Innovation, Development and Improvement
edited by Shigenobu Ohara & Takayuki Asada
- Vol. 4** International Management Accounting in Japan:
Current Status of Electronics Companies
edited by Kanji Miyamoto

Monden Institute of Management
Japanese Management and International Studies – Vol. 3

Japanese Project Management

KPM — Innovation, Development and Improvement

editors

Shigenobu Ohara
Nippon Institute of Technology, Japan

Takayuki Asada
Osaka University, Japan

World Scientific

NEW JERSEY • LONDON • SINGAPORE • BEIJING • SHANGHAI • HONG KONG • TAIPEI • CHENNAI

Published by

World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd.

5 Toh Tuck Link, Singapore 596224

USA office: 27 Warren Street, Suite 401-402, Hackensack, NJ 07601

UK office: 57 Shelton Street, Covent Garden, London WC2H 9HE

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

**Monden Institute of Management: Japanese Management and International Studies — Vol. 3
JAPANESE PROJECT MANAGEMENT
KPM — Innovation, Development and Improvement**

Copyright © 2009 by World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd.

All rights reserved. This book, or parts thereof, may not be reproduced in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording or any information storage and retrieval system now known or to be invented, without written permission from the Publisher.

For photocopying of material in this volume, please pay a copying fee through the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, USA. In this case permission to photocopy is not required from the publisher.

ISBN-13 978-981-277-873-4

ISBN-10 981-277-873-X

Typeset by Stallion Press

Email: enquiries@stallionpress.com

Printed in Singapore.

Monden Institute of Management

President: Kazuki Hamada, Kwansei Gakuin University, Japan

Vice President: Gunyung Lee, Niigata University, Japan

Vice President: Kanji Miyamoto, Osaka Gakuin University, Japan

Directors:

Henry Aigbedo, Oakland University, USA

Shufuku Hiraoka, Soka University, Japan

Mahfuzul Hoque, University of Dhaka, Bangladesh

Noriko Hoshi, Hakuoh University, Japan

Tomonori Inooka, Kokushikan University, Japan

Chao Hsiung Lee, National Chung Cheng University, Taiwan

Yoshiyuki Nagasaka, Konan University, Japan

Founder & Editor-in-Chief

Japanese Management and International Studies

Yasuhiro Monden, Mejiro University, Japan

The Mission of the Institute and Editorial Information

For the purpose of making a contribution to the business and academic communities, Monden Institute of Management is committed to publishing the book series coherently entitled *Japanese Management and International Studies*, a kind of book-length journal with a referee system.

Focusing on Japan and Japan-related issues, the series is designed to inform the world about research outcomes of the new “Japanese-style management system” developed in Japan. It includes the Japanese version of management systems developed abroad. In addition, it publishes research by overseas scholars and concerning overseas systems that constitute significant points of comparison with the Japanese system.

Research topics included in this series are management of organization in a broad sense (including the business group) and the accounting that supports the organization. More specifically, topics include business strategy, organizational restructuring, corporate finance, M&A, environmental management, business models, operations management, managerial accounting,

financial accounting for organizational restructuring, manager performance evaluation, remuneration systems, and management of revenues and costs. The research approach is interdisciplinary, which includes case studies, theoretical studies, normative studies, and empirical studies.

Each volume contains the series title and a book title which reflects the volume's special theme.

Our institute's board of directors has established an editorial board of international standing. In each volume, guest editors who are experts on the volume's special theme will serve as the volume editors.

Editorial Board of

Japanese Management and International Studies

Editor-in-Chief

Yasuhiro Monden, Mejiro University, Japan

Managing Editors

Henry Aigbedo, Oakland University, USA

Kazuki Hamada, Kwansei Gakuin University, Japan

Shufuku Hiraoka, Soka University, Japan

Mahfuzul Hoque, University of Dhaka, Bangladesh

Noriko Hoshi, Hakuoh University, Japan

Tomonori Inooka, Kokushikan University, Japan

Chao Hsiung Lee, National Chung Cheng University, Taiwan

Gunyung Lee, Niigata University, Japan

Yoshiyuki Nagasaka, Konan University, Japan

Editorial Advisory Board

Mohammad Aghdassi, Tarbiat Modarres University, Iran

Mahmuda Akter, University of Dhaka, Bangladesh

Takayuki Asada, Osaka University, Japan

Takahiro Fujimoto, University of Tokyo, Japan

Péter Horváth, University Stuttgart, Germany

Arnd Huchzermeier, WHU Koblenz, Germany

Christer Karlsson, Copenhagen Business School, Denmark

Masanobu Kosuga, Kwansei Gakuin University, Japan

Bruce Henry Lambert, Stockholm School of Entrepreneurship, Sweden

Rolf G. Larsson, Växjö University, Sweden

John Y. Lee, Pace University, USA

Jose Antonio Dominguez Machuca, University of Sevilla, Spain

Kenneth A. Merchant, University of Southern California, USA

Yoshiteru Minagawa, Nagoya Gakuin University, Japan

Kanji Miyamoto, Osaka Gakuin University, Japan

Tengku Akbar Tengku Abdullah, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia,
Malaysia

Jimmy Y.T. Tsay, National Taiwan University, Taiwan

Susumu Ueno, Konan University, Japan

Eri Yokota, Keio University, Japan

Walid Zaramdini, UAE University, United Arab Emirates

Preface

In the 1990s, Japanese companies experienced a deflationary depression called the “lost ten years”. To survive the depression, they looked for solutions in the *kaikaku* (reforms) of business management, organizations and technology, while struggling to regain their global competitiveness. We have observed that the companies that utilized the intellectual property of the entire organization were more successful in their reforms than those who focused only on their technological abilities. Specifically, such successful companies made efforts in the planning and execution of strategic businesses that would change the framework of value creation for the next generation. These companies had something in common: they applied a new project management paradigm. We call it Kaikaku Project Management (KPM).

Kaikaku is the comprehensive term used for a breakthrough program or project which may encompass a framework of the ingredients expressed in the three Japanese concepts of *Kakusin* (innovation), *Kaihatsu* (development) and *Kaizen* (improvement). In fact, these are significant elements for successful performance. The unique context is disclosed in terms of organizational view and platform for knowledge interaction.

Generally, project management has been recognized as a special management technique for engineers who build large, complex technical systems, including man-made creations such as weapons, factories, buildings and information systems. The main features of this project management paradigm are that it is designed to “build closed systems” and that the objectives, basic specifications, and injected resources are the “given conditions”. The project manager’s job is to hire multiple specialized engineers to integrate different technologies into a single system, while focusing on management by objectives (QCDS: Quality, Cost, Delivery and Scope). Thus, the closed system serves as the basic paradigm for thinking.

Meanwhile, the Japanese-style project management introduces the concept of *kaikaku* (reform). It is a concept based on comprehensive,

strategic “destruction and creation”. In KPM, the essential power of project management is twofold: the creativity supported by different kinds of knowledge brought together to overcome conservativeness, and the team power across the organization. Society, government and companies are looking in the field of project management for systematic human resource development solutions to train people to be *kaikaku* leaders. To compile a body of knowledge that satisfies such needs, we need to make a paradigm shift from the “closed technical system” to an “open value system”. Let us look at an example. Behind a plan to build a factory or an information system, there are strategic intentions and a strong determination to create new businesses or products and achieve organizational growth, while being aware of external changes in the ecosystem, market, clientele, competition, etc.

The traditional role of project management has focused only on the technical aspect, that is, “how we should build” a factory or information system. However, this perspective is only a part of the whole picture. In KPM, we need to consider the overall goal for strategic businesses from a top executive’s viewpoint, asking ourselves questions such as, “Why are we building it?” or “When should we recoup the investment?” KPM offers a body of knowledge based on the following concept: taking account of the lifecycle of a project from investment to its recuperation (i.e., planning, systemization and management of the project), the project organization injects the intellectual resources accumulated in the organization into the project to create value for the future.

1 Features of KPM

Some point out that the ideal leaders that KPM is seeking are top executives. Global competition has reduced the lifecycle of an organization to 5–10 years. This makes it difficult for companies to survive without *kaikaku* projects. The question is, can top executives really curtail many reform efforts according to their lifecycles? We have observed that many reform projects have “run out of gas” or “disappeared” in major companies. Accordingly, among rapidly growing companies, there are quite a few bankruptcies because of shortage of reform leaders. Meanwhile, KPM aims at training next-generation leaders who can link company-wide reforms to numerous projects, include such projects in business scenarios, and carry them out.

This book includes the theory and practice of KPM to help your understanding. The following are KPM's main features:

- *KPM is a project management practice which utilizes the perceptive ability that humans are born with.*

What is the starting point of a reform project? It is the strong desire to become better in the future. We humans are born with the perceptive ability that helps us draw a picture of the future from a comprehensive viewpoint and with a broad perspective. This is an ability that helps us create missions so that we can solve problems as a whole. Creative imagination and wisdom based on experience are integrated into this quality of creating missions, which serves as the key element of the project's success.

- *KPM promotes the creation of future value by utilizing a number of reform projects linked to strategy.*

Missions for a company's reform can be created not only by the top executives, but also by the entire organization. However, missions tend to be buried within the organization. KPM is designed to create a corporate culture that respects "missions", discover outstanding visions for reform from the entire organization, link such outstanding visions to the company-wide strategy, and use them in the creation of future value that promotes reform projects using project management.

- *KPM provides a body of knowledge to the training of core leaders whose responsibility is to recoup the investment.*

Core leaders' goal is to achieve the missions. They are also responsible for the management of the entire lifecycle (development, planning and result) of each mission that they are assigned by its creator or owner. The core leaders have the key responsibility for the reform. They play a role in promoting the reform by making the best use of "intellectual resources" that have been accumulated in the organization for the next generation. KPM promotes human resource development by providing a body of knowledge and methodology.

- *KPM proposes a methodology for avoiding risks of failure and resistance in the organization.*

A reform project tends to generate internal resistance because of conflict of interest, uncertainty, or a result of employee learning, etc., having the risk of failure. This can be solved in two different ways. The first is to introduce a HR system tailored to the project that is

designed to reflect the employees' reform proposals and efforts in their performance reviews. The second is to introduce company-wide human resource development. It is essential to train next-generation core leaders by providing our employees not only with usual organizational experience, but also with multiple career paths that allow us to reassign the employees based on their project experiences.

- *KPM provides a methodology for undertaking the solution of complex issues.*

In order to solve company-wide issues, it is essential to take an epistemological approach and promote the knowledge of project management. This book describes leading-edge interdisciplinary approaches, theories based on complex system science, and case studies. Agent-Based Simulation (ABS) is useful in explaining the structure, function and behavior of complex phenomena in project modeling based on hypothesizing and testing practices.

2 Structure of This Volume

2.1 *The orthodox, contemporary, and new categories of project management*

This volume consists of six parts for the purpose of introducing the holistic outlook and the most recent papers in applications of project management. A certain guide is implied to readers in terms of what the orthodox, the contemporary, and the new contexts of project management are. The orthodox context is engineering-oriented under closed system thinking. The knowledge is mainly for contractors engaged in the artificial systems. The contemporary context is the value-driven project management in open system paradigm. P2M often appeared in papers as the first Japanese standard developed in 2001 for project and program management belonging to the contemporary type. The knowledge is contrived for either the owner or the contractor for dual usages. Nevertheless, despite its uniqueness, new issues of slowdown and resistance to *Kaikaku* (reform) have emerged.

2.2 *KPM — the new Japanese Project Management*

To overcome the issue, the new context symbolized by KPM (Kaikaku Project Management) has been launched, and its thinking and methodology are being applied. Most papers are written in either the contemporary

or the new context or both, because they belong more or less to *Kaikaku*-type project management, which is further segmented to include areas of *Kakusin* (innovation), *Kaihatsu* (development), and *Kaizen* (improvement) solutions. KPM depicts the new knowledge framework improved from P2M by further clarifying the interface and linkage to strategy, role and competency model for mindset change, and knowledge platform in terms of the organizational view. All the papers in this volume cover, relate to, or paraphrase KPM partially or totally. The volume consists of six parts, titled as follows:

Part 1: Framework of Contemporary Japanese Project Management

The history and extensive applications of project management in Japan are overviewed. The paradigm shift from technical to value creation is explained as the birth of KPM version rooted in the first standard of P2M in Japan. In P2M/KPM, a mission-driven approach is adopted, which differs from the orthodox goal-driven approach. A “mission” is defined here as the expectation of realizing a future vision proposed by the owner’s initiative for *kaikaku* which is linked to a strategy. In P2M/KPM, the methodology is exhibited in 3S reference project models of scheme, system and service for expanded lifecycle program management. However, conservatism and bureaucracy resulted in frequent slowdowns. The mismatching of human resource allocation had discouraged team motivation in the experiences. In KPM, the new organizational consideration is introduced in 3K thinking of *Kakusin* (innovation), *Kaihatsu* (development), and *Kaizen* (improvement) as aforementioned. KPM thinking and methodology have proved effective and successful so far in improving company-wide learning opportunities, enhancing participation, and motivating consensus and awareness of core leaders.

Part 2: KPM in the Information and Communications Industry

Japan’s information industry is a growing industry with a market size of ¥40 trillion combining hardware and software products. In the IT industry, project management has been used for quite a while as a methodology for building technical systems. Nevertheless, it is facing two critical issues. The first issue is the low productivity in the simultaneous management of numerous small to mid-size projects. The second issue refers to development risks and inter-customer relationships. The former is about decision-making on internal authority and its role in a matrix organization. The latter is about mutual understanding of project context between

organizations. Concerning these two issues, KPM suggests from a project governance perspective a framework for information sharing, integration by program managers, project business models, platform design, etc., and explains its own framework.

Part 3: Project Management for Business Reforms Linked to Strategy

Business executives are seeking solutions for resource allocation and overall evaluation in reform projects. There are two issues involved here. One is the resource allocation of project value and the overall evaluation during the planning of reform strategies. The other is the evaluation of the performance of the strategy and its results. KPM proposes “project management that can recoup the investment” as its methodology and suggests an evaluation method using project balance scorecards and a control method using the Key Performance Indicator (KPI). Such control not only serves as a financial indicator, but also aims to establish a management approach that makes the reform successful because of multiple factors including the project owner, high learning ability across the organization, and business growth.

Part 4: Project Management for Knowledge-Based Development Strategy

For many years, project management for development has been used to manage technology development in research institutions, but it has not evolved into an independent PM paradigm. In strategic management of intellectual property and development projects in industry-government-academia collaboration, people are trying to overcome such management trend. While we live in a matured knowledge-based information society, new project management ideas and methodologies are increasingly receiving attention. KPM aims to be a comprehensive management solution while promoting the paradigm shift from the technical system to a “value system” and considering development, execution and implementation as a total lifecycle. Thus, the new KPM is used for the long-term, strategic development of technologies in the public sector, the development of new drugs, and the development of environment-friendly products.

Part 5: Application of KPM for New Value-Creating Activity

To solve a complex social problem, we need to take an interdisciplinary scientific approach because an individual science cannot solve such a problem. In KPM thinking, project management is categorized in the new disciplines as a holistic creative solution. The view is insightful by constructivist

thinking rather than analytical by positivist thinking. Perception has to interact with logic for realizing innovation into reality. The constructivist interpretation is guided by the mission-driven approach and *ba* collaboration platform. To link practice and science, KPM recommends and applies the simulation, agent-based modeling and methodology for hypothesizing, visualizing, and testing practices for logical supports. Here, research on the public project of superhighway and the customized product development of networked team are presented in KPM paradigm. The former research advances the methodology for complex project missions by demonstrating simulation of feasible or optimal toll rate calculation. The latter research deals with a networked project team working on frame boxes for personal computers. The project members in distant locations were obliged to exchange opinions frequently on profiling configuration and specification from design to manufacture stage of the lifecycle. The development process has been traced for project-based learning and modeling. Readers may be interested in media tools of CAD and groupware, and further attracted by progressive description of facts in digital and face-to-face communications.

Part 6: Project Management Infrastructures for Evolving the Standard

The most important elements of the infrastructure for advancing project management are threefold: standardization system, international use and organization-wide education. By strengthening these elements, the cycle of research, implementation and standardization will be ensured. As a result, the infrastructure and the promotion of knowledge become inseparable. Especially in colleges and universities, which have a dual role of research and education, KPM has recently been taught in their programs designed to train future reform leaders. Because of the advancement of global supply chains, more Japanese companies are becoming multinational. To do business in countries whose cultures, customs and languages are different from each other, it is essential to promote KPM so that we can make a complex context of business models adjusted to these countries to speed up business operations.

Volume Editor
Shigenobu Ohara

This page intentionally left blank

The Guide of Essential Terminology in KPM

A project

A project is a specific undertaking conducted by a temporary organization, and differentiated by novelty of the job, situation and conditions to be achieved complying with the mission or objectives. In the Japanese way, the essence of a project is underlined to the unique style of its endeavors to organize intellectual resources for problem solutions across and beyond functions.

Project management

Project management is the capability of managing a project(s) based on knowledge, competency, experience, skills, practices and disciplines. It is involved extensively with managing projects or processes efficiently and effectively to achieve missions and goals.

A program

A program is a group of integrated projects designed and conducted under a holistic mission. It is evidently positioned at a higher concept than a project, but is different from multiple projects conducted divergently in an organization. A program is suited to deal with complex missions in need of solutions to diversified purposes like business innovation, environmental problems and social problems.

Program management

Program management is the capability of integrating projects essentially needful to the project owner, who manages the total lifecycle from initiation to the end. In the Japanese way, program management represents the owner's role and view rather than the contractor's. In order to reflect the owner's view, integration management, strategy linkage by way of steering

committee, program director, program manager and project office shall be installed and clarified in the organization. In the Japanese way, the 3S reference modeling of Scheme, System and Service is developed and introduced in architecture management.

Kaikaku Project Management (KPM)

Kaikaku is the Japanese word for radical reforms. The word is popularly underlined in turning points for breakthrough. It encompasses awareness of people's mindsets and roles in organizational layers in the three K's of *Kakusin* or innovation at the top, *Kaihatsu* or development at the middle, and *Kaizen* or improvement. Capturing and linking potentials, Japanese project management is described under this major context with its framework.

Mission

Mission is the base statement of launching a project(s) or a program(s) with commitment, which represents the owner's intent of uniqueness. It harnesses objectives, context, contents and requirements during the initial stage. In the Japanese way, the start of a project(s) or a program(s) is defined by the launch of a mission, and this eventually includes the process of its clarification and development if necessary.

Explicit and implicit missions

In general, mission is identified in explicit and implicit types. The explicit type provides the base of full requirements for contractors to assume obligations by specifying the scope and configurations. The implicit type leaves the base for further clarification or development by the owner in collaboration with specialists, and this includes identification of multiple objectives, definitions, requirements, tradeoff solutions, configurations and specifications.

The owner

The owner means an individual or an organized body which holds final decision-making authority and ownership over a project(s) or a program(s) and, accordingly, assumes full responsibility of the total lifecycle of the

project(s) or program(s) from its initiation to the end. Therefore, the owner represents the role(s) and position(s) of the client, head office, primary undertaker and/or investor(s).

The contractor

The contractor is a team or an organized body which is assigned to assume a part or all of a project(s) or a program(s) by the owner through a contractual commitment with the owner. This terminology is used occasionally on comprehensive contract(s) between or among organized bodies.

The stakeholder

The stakeholder is a group of people or organized bodies who have more or less vested interests or relations in a project(s) or program(s). The concept is broad, but critical for success in management. It covers in general the owner, customers, end users, consulting bodies, agents, contractors, project managers, project teams, subcontractors, financiers, the community, residents, local or central governments, land owners, etc. In its narrow meaning (dependent upon definition), it includes only major participants, collaborators or related bodies.

Value creation paradigm

Value creation paradigm means the strategic thinking to the value-oriented priority of what could be the target and how limited resources should be allocated to sustain activities for decision making. Enterprises survive and grow by value creation activities engaged in producing products and services. The sustainability of value creation activity depends on requirements in an uncertain environment that values are appreciated and essentially paid as costs or surplus directly by clients or indirectly by stakeholders. That is why *kaikaku* or innovative reform is critical in thinking and actions to be formulated and implemented by project or program management. The paradigm also implies that the pursuit of the framework shall be encouraged to build a body of knowledge, competency and methodology for value creation.

An organizational view

An organization is defined as the collaborative system having social, human, material and information subsystems. It is sustained, controlled and driven by collective goals, willingness of affiliation and communication. Organizations are classified in many ways, but here are simply divided into the operational type in hierarchy and the project type in flat teams.

In the operational organization, routine tasks and duties are performed functionally under principles of specialization, while project organizations are deemed effective to foster creativity under constrained conditions. The advantages of a project organization are that it is suited to innovation, development and improvement, and its hybrid unity for generating dynamic knowledge interaction. Furthermore, leadership, role/competency awareness and team building are essential elements in KPM.

Shikumizukuri view

This term is often used whenever *kaikaku* or reform is launched in Japan. It is a hybrid of *shikumi* and *zukuri*. *Shikumi* means a comprehensive context of the new strategic institution, framework and/or architecture. *Zukuri* means grand design and its implementation complying with the mission. This hybrid term provides a view extremely similar to *Kakusin*-Innovation Program Management's interdisciplinary theories, practices and experiences. In the architecture management, 3S (scheme, system, service) modeling are proposed in terms of lifecycle in value creation paradigm. In KPM, psychological and intelligent aspects are newly introduced, enhanced and guided by organizational view in the form of 3K (*Kakusin*, *Kaihatsu*, *Kaizen*) role competency and knowledge platform tool. Evidently, KPM provides the core management for integration and innovation by 3S/3K combined methodology.

P2M

P2M is the acronym for the first Japanese standard of project and program management for enterprise innovation developed in 2001 by a team headed by Professor Shigenobu Ohara and initiated by ENAA (Engineering Advanced Association). The knowledge framework is constituted of entry, project management, program management, and 11 segment management frames.

Acknowledgments

On behalf of the writers, the editors wish to express deep appreciation to Ms. Yvonne Tan of World Scientific Publishing Co. and to Prof. Yasuhiro Monden of Tsukuba University and Mejiro University for giving us the precious chance to publish this book. They have been patient and encouraging throughout the whole course of production. Our thanks is also extended to Ms. Miho Nosaka and Mrs. Yunokawa for their assistance in communicating with the authors.

This page intentionally left blank

Contents

Preface	ix
The Guide of Essential Terminology in KPM	xvii
Acknowledgments	xxi
Part 1 FRAMEWORK OF CONTEMPORARY JAPANESE PROJECT MANAGEMENT	
Framework of Contemporary Japanese Project Management (1): Project Management Paradigm — Interpretation, Application and Evolution to KPM <i>Shigenobu Ohara</i>	5
Framework of Contemporary Japanese Project Management (2): <i>Kakusin</i> -Innovation Program Management (IPM) — Organizational Acceptance and Dynamic Intellectual Cycle <i>Shigenobu Ohara</i>	25
Adjusting Function and Office Design in Japanese-Style Project Management Organizations — Applying the Idea of KPM <i>Tametsugu Taketomi</i>	45
Innovative Strategy and Project Organization <i>Tadamasa Imaguchi</i>	61
The Practice Examples of KPM Knowledge Platform <i>Emi Yunokawa</i>	71
Changes of Japanese Corporate Business Model under Global Pressure: Evidence Justifying KPM <i>Toshihiko Kinoshita</i>	83

Part 2 KPM IN THE INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY

Toward Establishing Project Governance <i>Tametsugu Taketomi</i>	111
Management Model of Information Development Program — Adaptive Multiple Spiral-Up Management <i>Shoei Komatsu</i>	129
Information Sharing for an ICT System for Strategic Program Management <i>Hideo Yamamoto</i>	143
Project Management from the Viewpoint of Projection Technologies <i>Naokoto Kogo & Atsushi Miyagawa</i>	155
An Evaluation Theory for Practical Use of RFID under Supply Chain Project Management <i>Takashi Katayama & Takayuki Asada</i>	167

Part 3 PROJECT MANAGEMENT FOR BUSINESS REFORMS LINKED TO STRATEGY

A Study of Multiple Value Measures Applied into Strategic Project Management <i>Takayuki Asada</i>	187
Business Architecture for Program and Project Management <i>Fumihiko Isada</i>	203
Business Portfolio Strategies for IT Services Companies in Japan: IS Strategy, Governance and Management <i>Hiroshi Sasaki</i>	213

Project & Program Balanced Scorecard for Executing Strategy in a Hotel Corporation	225
--	-----

Kenichi Suzuki

Development of a Service Model for the Japanese Construction Industry — Application to Business Innovation and Improvement Activities for Building Renewal	235
--	-----

Kazuo Tanaka & Masaru Tamaki

Part 4 PROJECT MANAGEMENT FOR KNOWLEDGE-BASED DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY

Strategic Knowledge/Intellectual Property Management and Compound Project Management: Theory and Practice	253
---	-----

Ellie Okada

Strategic R&D Management at NEDO (Japanese Public R&D Management Organization)	265
--	-----

Shiro Okazaki & Yousuke Manabe

Pharmaceutical Development as Case Study with High-Risk, High-Return Project Management	281
---	-----

Koji Iwasaki

The Product Development Project Aiming at the “Sociality” of Commodities	295
--	-----

Ninako Makino

Part 5 APPLICATION OF KPM FOR NEW VALUE-CREATING ACTIVITY

<i>Shikumizukuri</i> vs. One Best (No) Way! Project and Program Management for Enterprise Innovation (P2M): Towards a New Paradigm of KPM?	313
--	-----

Christophe N Bredillet

Pricing Public Projects with Complexity — Highway Management and Capacity Optimization by Mile-Based Pricing	339
--	-----

Toshinori Nemoto & Yuki Misui

Projects of Participatory Production and Their Management: Practice in Industrial Accumulation in Suwa	361
---	-----

Hajime Kita & Mikihiro Mori

Part 6 PROJECT MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUCTURES FOR EVOLVING THE STANDARD

World PM Trends and the Position of P2M in the Global Community	381
--	-----

Lynn Crawford

Examples of Changes of Japanese Corporate Business Model: Why is KPM Essentially Important Now?	403
--	-----

Toshihiko Kinoshita

Knowledge of Project Management and Finance in Japan	425
--	-----

Masaaki Horiguchi

Transcultural Management: Cultural Relevance in Different Industries	435
---	-----

Emiko Magoshi & Akio Yamamoto

Educational Effectiveness and Implementation of KPM in the Cultivation of Human Resource	451
---	-----

Masatoshi Nishio

Index	467
-------	-----