Progress in Gene Therapy — Vol. 3

AUTOLOGOUS AND CANCER STEM CELL GENE THERAPY

Editors

Roger BERTOLOTTI

University of Nice–Sophia Antipolis, France

Keiya OZAWA

Jichi Medical University, Japan

World Scientific

NEW JERSEY • LONDON • SINGAPORE • BEIJING • SHANGHAI • HONG KONG • TAIPEI • CHENNAI

Published by

World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd.

5 Toh Tuck Link, Singapore 596224

USA office: 27 Warren Street, Suite 401-402, Hackensack, NJ 07601

UK office: 57 Shelton Street, Covent Garden, London WC2H 9HE

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Autologous and cancer stem cell gene therapy / edited by Roger Bertolotti, Keiya Ozawa.

p. ; cm. -- (Progress in gene therapy ; v. 3)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN-13: 978-981-277-586-3 (hardcover : alk. paper)

ISBN-10: 981-277-586-2 (hardcover : alk. paper)

1. Stem cells--Therapeutic use. 2. Stem cells--Transplantation. 3. Gene therapy.

4. Cancer--Gene therapy. 5. Autotransplantation. I. Bertolotti, R. (Roger) II. Ozawa, Keiya, 1953- III. Series.

[DNLM: 1. Gene Therapy. 2. Stem Cells. 3. Regenerative Medicine. 4. Stem Cell

Transplantation. 5. Transplantation, Autologous. 6. Tumor Stem Cells. QZ 325 A939 2007]

QH588.S83A98 2007

615.8'95--dc22

2007043710

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

Copyright © 2008 by World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd.

All rights reserved. This book, or parts thereof, may not be reproduced in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording or any information storage and retrieval system now known or to be invented, without written permission from the Publisher.

For photocopying of material in this volume, please pay a copying fee through the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, USA. In this case permission to photocopy is not required from the publisher.

Typeset by Stallion Press

Email: enquiries@stallionpress.com

Printed in Singapore.

Preface

Adult/Postnatal stem cells provide for life-long cell replacement in tissues and organs. They have an inherent ability for self-renewal and differentiation into multiple cell types that are characteristic of their tissue of origin, and have been identified in an increasing number of tissues/organs even in brain and myocardium in which cell turnover was reputedly absent. In addition, many stem cells have inherent homing abilities that are instrumental in therapeutic applications. Stem cells are also the driving force of cancer, where genetic/epigenetic alterations culminate in uncontrolled self-renewal and tumorigenesis either in tissue stem cells or in some of their progenitor/differentiated derivatives. As a rare subset of the tumor, cancer stem cells are the only drive of tumor initiation/propagation and, upon transplantation, have been shown to recapitulate the hierarchical clonogenic differentiating/differentiated organization of the original tumor cell population. Stem cells are thus the key targets of 1) long-term gene therapy and broad/synergistic transient regenerative/epigenetic gene therapy for both inherited diseases and acquired/aging disorders on their autologous side, and 2) effective anti-cancer therapy on their dark side.

Autologous stem cells have been instrumental in the first unequivocal successes for gene therapy (2000–2004), whereby *ex vivo* retrovirally corrected hematopoietic stem cells have been returned to the patients. Such a stem cell gene therapy achievement that relies on random integration of therapeutic transgenes into host chromosomes is presented together with emerging experimental approaches aimed at eliminating random integration oncogenic hazards through site-specific integration or gene targeting. Breakthrough endonuclease-boosted gene targeting for gene correction (inherited diseases) or targeted integration of therapeutic transgene (other pathologies), culminating in an efficiency compatible with clinical correction of a disease gene, is one of the highlights of the book. Other highlights include the pioneering transplantation of adult pluripotent stem cells as a substitute for tissue-specific stem cells, thereby pinpointing the breakthrough potential of such autologous cells able to contribute to all three

germ layers both for multi-systemic diseases and for the development of a universal stem cell gene therapy platform. The autologous side is thus discussed in terms of magnifying stem cell therapeutic homing/regenerative capabilities through transient regenerative gene therapy, and in terms of tackling most pathologies (including mitochondrial DNA diseases and aging disorders) through stem cell repopulation dynamics into appropriate niches (long-term engraftment) and tissues (cell turnover). Regarding the dark side, focus is on both the increasing number of identified tissue-specific cancer stem cells as the ultimate targets for recurrence-free cancer therapy and on the development of armed stem cells as tumor-homing vectors for targeted anti-cancer stem cell gene therapy.

Roger Bertolotti
Keiya Ozawa

Contents

Preface	v
<i>Roger Bertolotti & Keiya Ozawa</i>	

List of Contributors	xi
----------------------	----

Introduction

Toward a Universal Platform for Autologous Stem Cell Gene Therapy	xxi
<i>Roger Bertolotti</i>	

Long-term Stem Cell Gene Therapy: From Current Clinical Random-Integration Achievements to Stem Cell Gene Targeting/Cybridization

I. From pioneering clinical retroviral gene therapy to experimental site-specific integrative gene therapy	
1 Stem Cell Gene Therapy for ADA-Deficiency without Myelopreparative Conditioning	1
<i>Makoto Otsu and Tadashi Ariga</i>	
2 Targeted Insertion of Transgene into a Specific Site on Chromosome 19 by Using Adeno-Associated Virus Integration Machinery	19
<i>Masashi Urabe, Yoko Obara, Takayuki Ito, Hiroaki Mizukami, Akihiro Kume and Keiya Ozawa</i>	
3 Herpes Simplex Virus Type 1/Adeno-Associated Virus Hybrids as Site-Specific Integrating Vectors	47
<i>Cornel Fraefel, Daniel L. Glauser, Thomas Heister and Mathias Ackermann</i>	

II. Stem cell gene targeting/cybridization: toward endonuclease-boosted gene repair/alteration, custom site-specific integrative gene therapy and transmitochondrial therapy/rejuvenation

- 4 Endonuclease-Boosted Gene Targeting and Cybridization for Long-Term Stem Cell Gene Therapy 81
Roger Bertolotti
- 5 Cell-Free Protein-Evolution Systems for Engineering of Novel Sequence-Specific DNA-Binding and -Modifying Activities 115
Armin Sepp, Farid Ghadessy and Yen Choo
- 6 Advances in Engineering Homing Endonucleases for Gene Targeting: Ten Years After Structures 135
Barry L. Stoddard, Andrew M. Scharenberg and Raymond J. Monnat, Jr
- 7 Gene Targeting Mediated by Helper-dependent Adenoviral Vectors 169
Kohnosuke Mitani

Adult Pluripotent Stem Cells: Emerging Stem Cell/Gene Therapy Breakthrough

- 8 Multipotent Progenitor Cells: A New Era in Stem Cell-Mediated Gene Therapy? 187
Marta Serafini and Catherine M. Verfaillie

Cancer Stem Cell Gene Therapy

I. Cancer stem cells as breakthrough targets of cancer gene therapy

- 9 Leukemia Stem Cells, A Pioneering Paradigmatic Model 203
Daniel J. Pearce and Dominique Bonnet
- 10 Mammary Stem Cells 261
Mark Shackleton, Marie-Liesse Asselin-Labat, François Vaillant, Geoffrey J. Lindeman and Jane E. Visvader

11	Human Brain Tumor Stem Cells <i>Sara G. M. Piccirillo and Angelo L. Vescovi</i>	299
12	CD44 as a Functional Cancer Stem Cell Marker and a Potential Therapeutic Target <i>Lubna Patrawala and Dean G. Tang</i>	317
II. Armed stem cells as tumor-homing vectors for cancer gene therapy		
13	Neural Stem Cell-mediated Therapy of Primary and Metastatic Solid Tumors <i>Joseph Najbauer, Mary K. Danks, Nils-Ole Schmidt, Seung U. Kim and Karen S. Aboody</i>	335
14	Antitumor Activity of Adenovirally Transduced CD34 ⁺ Cells Expressing Membrane-bound TRAIL <i>Carmelo Carlo-Stella, Cristiana Lavazza, Antonino Carbone and Alessandro M. Gianni</i>	373
15	Mesenchymal Stem Cells as Vehicles for Delivering Therapeutics and Oncolytic Viruses <i>Larisa Pereboeva and Justin Roth</i>	393
	Index	445

This page intentionally left blank

List of Contributors

Karen S. Aboody

Division of Hematology/Hematopoietic

Cell Transplantation, City of Hope

National Medical Center and

Beckman Research Institute

Duarte, CA, USA

and

Division of Neurosciences

City of Hope National

Medical Center and Beckman Research Institute

Duarte, CA, USA

Mathias Ackermann

Institute of Virology

University of Zurich

Switzerland

Tadashi Ariga

Department of Pediatrics

Hokkaido University Graduate School of Medicine

Sapporo, 060-8638

Hokkaido, Japan

Marie-Liesse Asselin-Labat

The Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research

Parkville

Victoria 3050, Australia

Roger Bertolotti

CNRS, Gene Therapy and Regulation Research
Faculty of Medicine
University of Nice Sophia Antipolis
06107 Nice, France

Dominique Bonnet

Hematopoietic Stem Cell Laboratory
Cancer Research UK
London Research Institute
London, WC2A 3PX, UK

Antonino Carbone

Department of Pathology
Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale Tumori
Milano, Italy

Carmelo Carlo-Stella

“Cristina Gandini” Medical Oncology Unit
Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale Tumori
Milano, Italy
and
Medical Oncology
University of Milano
Milano, Italy

Yen Choo

Plasticell Ltd
Imperial Biocubator
Bessemer Building (RSM)
Prince Consort Road
South Kensington
London SW7 2BP, UK

Mary K. Danks

Department of Molecular Pharmacology
St. Jude Children's Research Hospital
Memphis, TN, USA

Cornel Fraefel

Institute of Virology
University of Zurich
Switzerland

Farid Ghadessy

Institute of Molecular and Cell Biology
61 Biopolis Drive
Singapore 138673

Alessandro M. Gianni

"Cristina Gandini" Medical Oncology Unit
Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale Tumori
Milano, Italy
and
Medical Oncology
University of Milano
Milano, Italy

Daniel L. Glauser

Institute of Virology
University of Zurich
Switzerland

Thomas Heister

Institute of Virology
University of Zurich
Switzerland

Takayuki Ito

Division of Genetic Therapeutics
Center for Molecular Medicine
Jichi Medical University
Tochigi 329-0498, Japan

Seung U. Kim

Division of Neurology
UBC Hospital
University of British Columbia
Vancouver, Canada
and
Institute for Regenerative Medicine
Gachon University
Inchon, Korea

Akihiro Kume

Division of Genetic Therapeutics
Center for Molecular Medicine
Jichi Medical University
Tochigi 329-0498, Japan

Cristiana Lavazza

“Cristina Gandini” Medical Oncology Unit
Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale Tumori
Milano, Italy
and
Medical Oncology
University of Milano
Milano, Italy

Geoffrey J. Lindeman

The Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research
Parkville
Victoria 3050, Australia

Kohnosuke Mitani

Gene Therapy Division
Research Center for Genomic Medicine
Saitama Medical University
Hidaka, Saitama 350-1241, Japan

Hiroaki Mizukami

Division of Genetic Therapeutics
Center for Molecular Medicine
Jichi Medical University
Tochigi 329-0498, Japan

Raymond J Monnat, Jr

Departments of Pathology and Genome Sciences
University of Washington
Seattle WA 98195, USA

Joseph Najbauer

Division of Hematology/Hematopoietic
Cell Transplantation, City of Hope
National Medical Center and
Beckman Research Institute
Duarte, CA, USA

Yoko Obara

Division of Genetic Therapeutics
Center for Molecular Medicine
Jichi Medical University
Tochigi 329-0498, Japan

Makoto Otsu

Laboratory of Stem Cell Therapy
Center for Experimental Medicine
Institute of Medical Science
University of Tokyo
108-8639 Tokyo, Japan

Keiya Ozawa

Division of Genetic Therapeutics
Center for Molecular Medicine
Jichi Medical University
Tochigi 329-0498, Japan

Lubna Patrawala

Department of Carcinogenesis
The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center
Science Park–Research Division
Smithville, TX 78957, USA

Daniel J. Pearce

Hematopoietic Stem Cell Laboratory
Cancer Research UK
London Research Institute
London, WC2A 3PX, UK

Larisa Pereboeva

Division of Human Gene Therapy
Departments of Medicine, Obstetrics and Gynecology,
Pathology, and Surgery and the Gene Therapy Center
University of Alabama at Birmingham
Birmingham, AL 35294-2172, USA
and
Division of Molecular and Cellular Pathology
Department of Pathology
University of Alabama at Birmingham
Birmingham, AL 35294, USA

Sara G. M. Piccirillo

Department of Biotechnology and Biosciences
University of Milan-Bicocca
Milan, Italy

Justin Roth

Division of Molecular and Cellular Pathology
Department of Pathology
University of Alabama at Birmingham
Birmingham, AL 35294, USA

Andrew M Scharenberg

Department of Pediatrics
University of Washington
Seattle WA 98195, USA
and
Children's Hospital and Regional
Medical Center
Seattle WA 98109, USA

Nils-Ole Schmidt

Department of Neurosurgery
University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf
Hamburg, Germany

Armin Sepp

43 Bullen Close
Cambridge CB1 8YU, UK

Marta Serafini

Centro Ricerca M. Tettamanti
Clinica Pediatrica Università Milano-Bicocca
Ospedale San Gerardo
Monza, Italy

Mark Shackleton

Life Sciences Institute
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, MI, USA

and

The Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research
Parkville
Victoria 3050, Australia

Barry L Stoddard

Division of Basic Sciences
Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center
Seattle WA 981089, USA

Dean G. Tang

Department of Carcinogenesis
The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center
Science Park — Research Division
Smithville, TX 78957, USA

and

Program in Environmental & Molecular Carcinogenesis
Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences (GSBS)
Houston, TX 77030, USA

Masashi Urabe

Division of Genetic Therapeutics
Center for Molecular Medicine
Jichi Medical University
Tochigi 329-0498, Japan

François Vaillant

The Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research
Parkville
Victoria 3050, Australia

Catherine M. Verfaillie

Stamcelinstituut Leuven
Katholieke Universiteit Leuven
Leuven, Belgium

Angelo L. Vescovi

Department of Biotechnology and Biosciences
University of Milan-Bicocca, Milan, Italy
and
StemGen Spa, Milan, Italy

Jane E. Visvader

The Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research
Parkville
Victoria 3050, Australia

This page intentionally left blank

Introduction

Toward a Universal Platform for Autologous Stem Cell Gene Therapy

Roger Bertolotti*

Adult/Postnatal stem cells provide for life-long cell replacement in tissues and organs. They have an inherent ability for self-renewal and differentiation into multiple cell types that are characteristic of their tissue of origin, and have been identified in an increasing number of tissues/organs even in brain and myocardium in which cell turnover was reputedly absent. They are thus the obvious targets of both long-term and transient-regenerative gene therapy, whereby they either provide for life-long transgenic cell turnover (e.g., gene-corrected hematopoietic stem cells in SCID patients) or are mobilized/recruited in a regenerative process (e.g., vasculogenesis in patients incurring critical limb ischemia). In both cases, their inherent homing ability is essential to their therapeutic repopulation dynamics into appropriate niches (long-term engraftment) and tissues (cell turnover), culminating in synergistic combinations aimed at magnifying their homing/regenerative/differentiative potential. Importantly enough, the homing ability of relevant stem/progenitor cells is a unique opportunity to substitute stem cell-mediated delivery for transient topical gene therapy both for regenerative medicine (e.g., aforementioned vasculogenesis) and for cancer therapy. Indeed, as “never-healing wounds”, tumors mobilize neovascularizing and connective-mesenchymal stem cells that are indispensable to their growth, thereby prompting stem cell-mediated tumor-targeted gene therapy protocols for maximized efficiency and minimized off-target side effects. Unexpectedly, neural stem/progenitor cells have been found to have such a tumor-homing propensity that they are currently used to track disseminated metastatic cells. Therapeutic homing is thus the driving force of our autologous stem cell gene therapy platform that comprises 1) a long-term gene therapy branch that relies on endonuclease-boosted gene targeting and cybridization, and 2) a transient regenerative/epigenetic/cancer gene therapy branch. Endonuclease-boosted gene targeting and *ex vivo* cybridization are true genomic homeostatic tools for gene repair/alteration (nuclear inherited diseases) and whole wild-type mitochondrial genome (mtDNA) transfer (mtDNA diseases including aging disorders),

*Corresponding author. Roger.Bertolotti@unice.fr
CNRS, Gene Therapy and Regulation Research, Faculty of Medicine, University of Nice Sophia Antipolis, 06107 Nice, France

respectively, thereby eliminating random-integration hazards (dysregulations and adverse oncogenic events) that hamper current clinical gene therapy trials. The same holds true for emerging custom site-specific integrative gene therapy mediated by endonuclease-boosted gene targeting (mtDNA-independent acquired and degenerative/aging disorders). Transient regenerative gene therapy is a critical arm of our platform, since it is aimed both at driving regenerative medicine *sensu stricto* (wound healing and aging/degenerative pathologies) and at synergizing long-term gene therapy, thereby magnifying the repopulating/regenerative ability of transfected/transduced stem cells and cybrids (inherited/acquired disorders including mtDNA diseases). The transient epigenetic and cancer gene therapy arms are discussed in terms of 1) potential long-term inactivation/activation of endogenous genes through transient expression of promoter-specific siRNA and 2) breakthrough targeting of cancer stem cells for recurrence-free cancer therapy, respectively. Importantly enough, emerging adult/postnatal pluripotent stem cells that have an extensive/clonogenic *ex vivo* growth potential and are able to differentiate into cells of the three germ lineages (pluripotency) are presented as the ultimate autologous drive of our universal stem cell gene therapy platform, culminating in strategies aimed at selective *ex vivo* amplification of engineered stem cells and cybrids and at tackling both tissue-specific and multisystemic pathologies/regenerative needs.

Keywords: Autologous pluripotent stem cells; cybrid stem cells; cancer stem cells; tissue- and tumor-homing; endonuclease-boosted gene targeting; gene repair/alteration; targeted transgene integration; long-term and transient gene therapy.

I. Therapeutic Homing as the Very Drive of Both Long-term and Transient-Regenerative Stem Cell Gene Therapy

Adult/Postnatal stem cells have both self-renewing and differentiative capabilities, thereby providing for life-long cell replacement in tissues and organs. In addition, many stem cells have inherent homing abilities that are instrumental in therapeutic applications (see below). They are thus the obvious target of both transient-regenerative and long-term gene therapy (see Bertolotti, 2001, 2003a and 2003b).

As illustrated by the first unequivocal successes for gene therapy, the selective engraftment and repopulating abilities of *ex vivo* gene-corrected hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) have been instrumental in the clinical outcome of patients with X-linked severe combined immunodeficiency (X-SCID) and adenosine deaminase (ADA)-deficient SCID (Cavazzana-Calvo *et al.*, 2000; Aiuti *et al.*, 2002; Gaspar *et al.*, 2004). In these trials, efficient *ex vivo* retroviral transduction of bone marrow (BM) HSCs has been synergized to the BM niche-homing ability of these transduced stem cells and to the selective growth advantage of their lymphoid progenitor derivatives over mutant SCID cognates. The self-renewing and differentiative capabilities of engrafted gene-corrected stem cells thus secure long-term transgenic

lymphoid cell turnover (Hacein-Bey-Abina *et al.*, 2002; see Bertolotti, 2003a and 2003b) and, like in conventional BM transplantations, are expected to result in a life-long cure for these inherited diseases, thereby establishing the very paradigm of life-long therapeutic gene expression mediated by targeted autologous stem cells.

Stem cells are also the targets of transient gene therapy protocols, where they are mobilized and recruited in a regenerative process such as the formation of new blood vessels (see Bertolotti, 2001, 2002 and 2003c). Indeed, pioneering vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) gene therapy trials with topical transgene expression drove Isner and co-workers to the identification of circulating endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs or angioblasts) in peripheral blood (Asahara *et al.*, 1997) and to the demonstration that neovascularization in adult ischemic tissue is not restricted to angiogenesis (sprouting of endothelial cells [ECs] from pre-existing vessels; Folkman, 1971) but also involves vasculogenesis (Asahara *et al.*, 1997), where mobilization of BM EPCs (Takahashi *et al.*, 1999; Asahara *et al.*, 1999a) is increased by VEGF gene therapy (Asahara *et al.*, 1999b; Kalka *et al.*, 2000a and 2000c), culminating in the incorporation of EPCs into foci of neovascularization and in their differentiation into mature ECs (Asahara *et al.*, 1997 and 1999b; Kalka *et al.*, 2000a and 2000c). Importantly enough, mobilization of BM EPCs is correlated to the transient expression of the therapeutic VEGF transgene and subsequent transient increase in plasma VEGF (Kalka *et al.*, 2000a and 2000c), indicating that one of the main targets of VEGF gene therapy is indeed BM stem/progenitor cells, i.e., EPC precursors such as putative adult heman-gioblasts (precursors of both HSCs and angioblasts; see Reyes *et al.*, 2002), multipotent lineage-negative c-kit-positive (lin^- c-kit $^+$) stem cells (Orlic *et al.*, 2001) and/or pluripotent mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs)/pre-MSCs (Reyes *et al.*, 2002; Jiang *et al.*, 2002; Anjos-Afonso and Bonnet, 2007).

As shown by Isner and co-workers, a key feature of circulating EPCs is their propensity to home into ischemic tissues (Asahara *et al.*, 1997 and 1999b; Kalka *et al.*, 2000a and 2000c). Such a regenerative homing of EPCs prompted the development of 1) stem cell-mediated gene delivery to ischemic/neovascularizing tissues (Asahara *et al.*, 1997; Iwaguro *et al.*, 2002) and 2) autologous vasculogenic stem cell therapy. The first approach is a breakthrough for both regenerative medicine and cancer gene therapy (see below). The second approach was initiated on experimental models for both critical limb ischemia (Kalka *et al.*, 2000b) and myocardial ischemia (Kawamoto *et al.*, 2001; Kocher *et al.*, 2001), and then readily moved from bench to bedside because stem cell transplantation was already

a well-established medical practice (BM transplantation) (see: Matsubara, 2003; Brehm *et al.*, 2003; Assmus *et al.*, 2003; Bertolotti, 2003c).

Such a stimulation of the homing ability of EPCs to ischemic foci by transient topical gene therapy prompted us to devise a synergistic approach for long-term stem cell gene therapy, in which *ex vivo* protocols are combined with transient topical gene therapy in order to maximize the homing, regenerative and differentiative capabilities of the autologous therapeutic stem cells when they are returned to the patients (Bertolotti, 2001, 2003a and 2003b). The same synergistic combination with transient topical gene therapy applies to straight stem cell regenerative therapy too, as initially proposed for cardiovascular stem cell gene therapy (Bertolotti, 2002 and 2003c). Importantly enough, the intensive investigations that are aimed at unraveling the molecular mechanisms driving stem cell homing in a variety of niches and tissues/organs will be instrumental in the development of highly specific transient gene therapy protocols (see Bertolotti, 2003a and 2003b).

2. Stem/Progenitor Cells as Tissue- or Tumor-homing Vectors for Targeted Transient Regenerative/ Cancer Gene Therapy

2.1. Autologous Stem/Progenitor Cells as Tissue-Homing Vectors for Targeted Transient Regenerative Gene Therapy

The homing ability of EPCs has been pioneered by Isner and co-workers as a substitute for topical VEGF gene therapy in order to overcome autologous stem cell scarcity in aged patients through an increase of the *in vivo* revascularizative action of adult EPCs. Indeed, in this experimental trial on nude mice, *ex vivo* expansion and subsequent adenoviral transduction with a VEGF transgene strongly increased both the *in vitro* proliferative index and the *in vivo* revascularizative action of adult human EPCs. VEGF transduction was such a powerful booster that it reduced the effective therapeutic dose of EPCs to one thirtieth of its original value (Iwaguro *et al.*, 2002; Asahara *et al.*, 2002). The relative contribution of VEGF-induced angiogenesis, VEGF-induced mobilization/vasculogenesis of endogenous EPCs and transplanted-EPC-mediated vasculogenesis remains to be evaluated. Importantly enough, in this case homing does not apply to stem cells that are bound to engraft in their specific niche for life-long transgene expression (see HSCs in above SCID trials), but to progenitors cells that are bound to the tissue in which they incorporate through differentiation and in which

they will incur basic cell turnover (see Iwaguro *et al.*, 2002). Under these conditions, transient expression of the transgene is granted because adenoviral transgenes do not integrate into host chromosomal DNA and are thus lost by dilution through the last bursts of EPC divisions that precede final differentiation.

Such a transient stem cell gene therapy has obviously many applications in regenerative medicine, and is part of the transient regenerative gene therapy arm of our universal platform (Bertolotti, 2007; see below). It has been pioneered with EPCs, but also with MSCs and with neural stem cells (NSCs) that might work as progenitors and/or real stem cells (see below).

2.2. Autologous and Immortalized Stem/Progenitor Cells as Tumor-homing Vectors for Targeted Cancer Gene Therapy

Like train servicing a combat unit, angiogenesis/vasculogenesis is essential both to most regenerative processes and to tumor growth/development. As expected, mobilization of BM EPCs to the tumor bed has been shown to be essential to tumor angiogenesis/vasculogenesis and growth (Lyden *et al.*, 2001). In fact, the formation of tumor stroma closely resembles wound healing and is so tightly associated to cancer pathological growth that tumors are seen as “never-healing wounds” (Dvorak, 1986). Increased neovascularization and turnover/proliferation of connective stromal cells in tumors appear thus to rely on both EPCs and MSC regenerative potential, thereby paving the way to EPC-mediated (Ferrari *et al.*, 2003) and MSC-mediated targeted cancer therapy (Studený *et al.*, 2002). The propensity of both EPCs and MSCs to home into the tumor bed is the very drive of an emerging EPC- and MSC-based strategy for targeted delivery of therapeutics and oncolytic viruses to primary and metastatic tumors (see: Ferrari *et al.*, 2003; Moore *et al.*, 2004; Hall *et al.*, 2007; Pereboeva and Roth, this volume).

Importantly enough, neural stem/progenitor cells (NSCs) have been found to display extensive tropism for pathology (Aboody *et al.*, 2000) and, in experimental models, appear to be fairly efficient for targeted cancer gene therapy both for intracranial gliomas and for a variety of primary and metastatic tumors (see Yip *et al.*, 2006; Aboody *et al.*, 2006; Shah, 2007; Neujbauer *et al.*, this volume). On the other hand, CD34⁺ HSCs have been successfully used to target leukemias in another experimental system (Carlo-Stella *et al.*, 2006 and this volume).

For cancer therapy, we are dealing with a transient gene therapy protocol even though armed stem cells can be transduced with an integrating vector. Therefore, the main point is to have an efficient homing into the tumor

beds or nodules in order to topically deliver a transient transgene product, thereby maximizing the therapeutic effect and minimizing off-target side effects. Such a strategy is well fitted to autologous stem cells that are easy to obtain for *ex vivo* expansion and transfection/transduction (MSCs, EPCs and possibly circulating CD34⁺ HSCs), but cannot apply to autologous NSCs and many other tissue-stem cells. For these reasons and for the sake of standardization and easiness, off-the-shelf cell lines or preconditioned heterologous stem/progenitor cells are currently favored over autologous stem/progenitor cells (see: Hall *et al.*, 2007; Neujbauer *et al.*, this volume). This is why cancer stem cell gene therapy has not been formally included in our autologous stem cell gene therapy platform (see below).

2.3. Cancer Stem Cells as Breakthrough Targets for Stem Cell-mediated Gene Therapy and Other Cancer Therapies

Cancer stem cell gene therapy has a double meaning. It covers either the aforescribed approach in which armed stem cells are used for tumor-targeted delivery of a transgene or an oncolytic virus (see above), or emerging gene therapy approaches aimed at targeting cancer stem cells. However, we favor a third option conceptualizing an emerging breakthrough approach based on armed tumor-homing stem cells to specifically target cancer stem cells, thereby opening a promising avenue for recurrence-free cancer therapy.

Cancer stem cells are indeed the driving force of cancer (or at least of many of them), where genetic/epigenetic alterations have culminated in uncontrolled self-renewal and tumorigenesis either in tissue stem cells or in some of their progenitor/differentiated derivatives (see: Pearce and Bonnet, this volume; Piccirillo and Vescovi, this volume; Shackleton *et al.*, this volume; Patrawala and Tang, this volume). As a rare subset of the tumor, cancer stem cells are the only drive of tumor initiation/propagation and, upon transplantation, have been shown to recapitulate the hierarchical clonogenic differentiating/differentiated organization of the original tumor cell population (Bonnet and Dick, 1997; Reya *et al.*, 2001). Cancer stem cells have been identified in leukemia (Lapidot *et al.*, 1994; Bonnet and Dick, 1997) and in an increasing number of solid tumors such as breast cancer (Al-Hajj *et al.*, 2003), brain cancer (Singh *et al.*, 2003 and 2004; Galli *et al.*, 2004), prostate cancer (Collins *et al.*, 2005; Patrawala *et al.*, 2007), colon cancer (O'Brien *et al.*, 2007; Ricci-Vitiani *et al.*, 2007) and pancreatic cancer (Li *et al.*, 2007).

Cancer stem cells have the exclusive ability to reinitiate tumors (tumor-initiating cells) and are thus the very targets of a tantalizing

progression-free, recurrence-free therapy. Intensive investigations are thus aimed both at their identification in an exhaustive number of tumor types (Clarke *et al.*, 2006) and at developing cancer stem cell-specific therapeutic regimens (see: Piccirillo and Vescovi, this volume; Patrawala and Tang, this volume; Bao *et al.*, 2006; Piccirillo *et al.*, 2006; Jin *et al.*, 2006; Krause *et al.*, 2006). In this respect, we believe that cancer stem cell gene therapy protocols capable of efficiently driving a stem cell-mediated destruction of specific cancer stem cells while sparing their normal tissue-stem cell counterparts will soon be available.

3. Therapeutic Homing and Autologous Stem Cells as the Main Drive of Our Stem Cell Gene Therapy Platform

As schematized in Fig. 1, autologous stem cells are the universal drive of our stem cell gene therapy platform (Bertolotti, 2007). They are the targets of both long-term and transient gene therapy protocols that culminate in synergistic combinations (Bertolotti, 2001, 2003a and 2003b). As discussed above, therapeutic homing drives both long-term engraftment of gene-corrected autologous stem cells into their original niche when they are returned to the patient (e.g., CD34⁺ HSCs into the BM for life-long supply of transgenic lymphoid cells in the aforescribed SCID patients) and tissue-specific integration of stem/progenitor cells that have been mobilized by transient gene therapy (e.g., EPCs in transient topical VEGF gene therapy in the aforementioned critical limb ischemic patients). It also drives the tissue-specific migration of transgenic stem cells aimed at transient topical regenerative gene therapy (e.g., aforescribed EPC-mediated VEGF gene therapy for experimental critical limb ischemia) or the tumor-targeted armed stem cell delivery of transgene products for maximized efficiency of transient cancer gene therapy (e.g., Aboody *et al.*, 2000; Studeny *et al.*, 2002; Shah *et al.*, 2005; Carlo-Stella *et al.*, 2006; Komarova *et al.*, 2006). As discussed above, cancer stem cell gene therapy has not been formally included in our autologous stem cell gene therapy platform because, for the sake of standardization and easiness/availability, off-the-shelf cell lines or pre-conditioned heterologous stem/progenitor cells are currently favored over autologous stem/progenitor cells (see: Hall *et al.*, 2007; Neujbauer *et al.*, this volume).

As schematized in Fig. 1, the long-term gene therapy branch of our platform also has a transmitochondrial arm aimed at tackling mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) diseases (Bertolotti, 2005). In this case, autologous

Fig. 1. Stem cell gene therapy: a universal platform (Poster Exhibition of June 2, 2005, ASGT 8th Annual Meeting, Saint-Louis: Bertolotti, 2005a). A schematic overview of our universal platform in which autologous stem cells are the targets of both long-term (left: endonuclease-boosted gene targeting and cybridization; Bertolotti, 2004a and 2005) and transient (right: regenerative medicine and epigenetic therapy*; Bertolotti, 2000c, 2001 and 2003b) gene therapy protocols culminating in synergistic combinations (Bertolotti, 2001 and 2003a). Cancer stem cell gene therapy has not been included because off-the-shelf stem cell lines or preconditioned heterologous stem/progenitor cells are currently favored over autologous stem/progenitor cells for tumor-targeted stem cell gene therapy (see text). Autologous adult/neonatal stem cells include emerging multipotent/pluripotent stem cells with an extensive *ex vivo* growth potential (e.g., MAPCs, MIAMI cells, hBMSCs and maGSCs; see text), umbilical cord derivatives and potential ES-like cells that might arise from intensive cell reprogramming investigations (see Bertolotti, 2001). Such a universal platform is aimed at eliminating hazardous random integration of therapeutic DNA, at reversing inherited diseases by re-establishing wild-type genomic homeostasis, and at tackling most pathologies through stem cell repopulation dynamics into appropriate niches (long-term engraftment) and tissues (cell turnover). *Although the experimental work of Kawasaki and Taira is under fraud suspicion investigations (Fuyuno and Cyranoski, 2006), siRNA-mediated transcriptional gene silencing in human cells has been also described by Morris *et al.* (2004) and more recent data (see text); on the other hand, siRNA-mediated gene activation has now been shown to occur too (Li *et al.*, 2006; Janowski *et al.*, 2007). (Based on Fig. 1 of: Bertolotti R., *Gene Therapy and Regulation*, 3: 1–14 (2007), with permission of WSPC.)

transmitochondrial stem cells obtained through *ex vivo* cybridization (see below) are returned to the patient like a conventional long-term gene therapy trial (e.g., aforescribed SCID trials). However, in this case, one of the first potential targets might be the heart instead of the BM, and efficient engraftment of relevant cybrid stem cells might need the synergistic magnification of their homing/repopulating ability by the transient regenerative gene therapy arm of our platform (Bertolotti, 2005 and this volume).

Importantly enough, transient regenerative gene therapy is a critical arm of our platform since it is aimed both at driving regenerative medicine *sensu stricto* (healing disorders and aging/degenerative pathologies) and at synergizing long-term gene therapy, thereby magnifying the repopulating/regenerative ability of autologous native *ex vivo* expanded stem cells, transfected/transduced stem cells and cybrids.

4. Autologous Pluripotent Stem Cells: Toward a Universal Stem Cell Gene Therapy Platform

Using the therapeutic homing ability of adult/postnatal stem cells, we have thus designed an autologous stem cell gene therapy platform aimed at tackling most diseases (Bertolotti, 2005 and 2007). As schematized in Fig. 1, the long-term gene therapy branch comprises a gene targeting arm aimed at tackling 1) nuclear inherited diseases through gene repair/alteration and 2) mtDNA-independent acquired/degenerative/aging disorders through custom site-specific integration of therapeutic transgenes (see below). The long-term gene therapy branch also has a cybridization arm in which autologous transmitochondrial stem cells are used to treat all mtDNA diseases (Bertolotti, 2005). On the other hand, as emphasized above, the transient gene therapy branch comprises a regenerative arm for regenerative medicine *sensu stricto* and for the synergistic magnification of the homing/regenerative/differentiative potential of *ex vivo* engineered stem cells from the long-term gene therapy arm (see above), and an epigenetic arm for long-term inactivation/activation of endogenous genes through transient expression of promoter-specific siRNAs and antisense RNA (see below). In addition, the transient gene therapy arm of our stem cell gene therapy platform includes a potential cancer gene therapy arm for stem cell-mediated, tumor-targeted delivery of transgenic products or oncolytic viruses (see above). Some of the main features of our platform are detailed below.

4.1. Gene Targeting and Cybridization as Genomic Homeostatic Tools

4.1.1. Endonuclease-boosted gene targeting

Pioneering gene therapy clinical trials relied on retrovirus-mediated transduction of transgenes encoding the wild-type product of the disease genes (Blaese *et al.*, 1995; Kohn *et al.*, 1995; Bordignon *et al.*, 1995). Such an approach culminated in the early 2000s with the first unequivocal successes for gene therapy (Cavazzana-Calvo *et al.*, 2000; Aiuti *et al.*, 2002; Gaspar *et al.*, 2004). Unfortunately, this therapeutic breakthrough is plagued by adverse oncogenic events resulting from the fact that retroviral vectors integrate at random into host chromosomal DNA (Hacein-Bey-Abina *et al.*, 2003b; Baum, 2007). Random integration of transgenic DNA into host chromosomal DNA is an insertional mutagenesis event that can hit a cancer-prone gene (e.g., oncogene, tumor suppressor or DNA-repair gene), thereby promoting a true long-term carcinogenesis hazard as experienced by patients from the first unequivocal successful gene therapy trial (Cavazzana-Calvo *et al.*, 2000; Hacein-Bey-Abina *et al.*, 2003b). In this trial, in which four out of eight successfully treated X-SCID patients incurred retroviral vector-mediated random-insertional T cell lymphomas, the first lymphoma case occurred almost three years after successful therapeutic gene transfer (Hacein-Bey-Abina *et al.*, 2003a) and the fourth case a few months ago (Baum, 2007).

Although a theoretically low-probability risk, random insertional oncogenesis is a true safety hazard of retroviral vectors. In addition, random integration into host chromosomal DNA does not provide for optimal transgene expression/regulation, a major concern when, unlike with SCID patients, we deal with a tightly regulated function (see Bertolotti, 1998 and 2000a). Site-specific integrating vectors are thus under intensive investigations, and are now emerging for both viral and nonviral gene therapy protocols (see: Olivares and Calos, 2003; Allen and Samulski, 2003; Wilkinson *et al.*, 2005; Urabe *et al.* and Fraefel *et al.*, this volume). However, these site-specific integrating vectors lack chromosomal target flexibility and might thus incur differentiation background trouble if one wishes to target a broad spectrum of tissue stem cells. Unlike these approaches, integration of exogenous DNA mediated by gene targeting is driven by homologous recombination with chromosomal DNA (see Capecchi, 1989) and has thus no target restriction, since the integration site is not specified by the DNA-binding domain of a protein but by the very DNA sequence of the targeting vector that is homologous to target chromosomal DNA. Gene targeting mediates flexible DNA

exchanges between chromosomal DNA and transfecting/transducing DNA, thereby providing the means to modify at will the sequence of target chromosomal DNA (Capecchi, 1989). Gene targeting stands thus not only as the ultimate process for site-specific (i.e., targeted) transgene integration, but also for gene repair/alteration (Bertolotti, 1996 and 1999). Therefore, clinical gene targeting is discussed both in terms of gene repair/alteration for inherited diseases/anti-viral therapy and in terms of custom site-specific integrative gene therapy for acquired/degenerative and aging disorders (Bertolotti, 1999, 2000a and 2004a).

Conventional gene targeting is overwhelmed by random integration and is inefficient unless a double-strand break (DSB) hits target chromosomal DNA (see Bertolotti, this volume). Emerging DSB-boosted gene targeting relies on chimeric zinc-finger endonucleases (ZFNs) and customized homing endonucleases that create site-specific DSBs (see Bertolotti, 2007). Optimization of zinc-finger DNA-binding domains culminates now in targeting efficiencies compatible with clinical single-base correction: homologous recombination mediates strand exchanges between chromosomal and transfecting therapeutic DNA under negligible random integration of both therapeutic DNA and ZFN vectors (Urnov *et al.*, 2005). Hot-off-the-press data show that the same holds true for targeted integration of up to ≈ 8 kb transgenes (Moehle *et al.*, 2007), thereby opening a promising custom site-specific integrative gene therapy era in which therapeutic transgenes can be integrated at selected hazard-free locations for optimized regulated expression.

Endonuclease-boosted gene targeting is thus a breakthrough for clinical gene therapy (see Bertolotti, 2004a and this volume). However, customization of both ZFNs and homing endonucleases for clinical applications is still a tedious and time-consuming process, thereby limiting their availability (see Bertolotti, 2007 and this volume). In addition, either with ZFNs or emerging custom homing endonucleases, efficiency is excellent when the distance between the target bases and the DSB is short (less than ~ 50 bp), but quickly drops when the distance increases (Elliott *et al.*, 1998; Miller *et al.*, 2005; Porteus, 2006). Such a limitation is a major hurdle for the clinical management of most multi-base mutations. In addition, it pinpoints the personalized facet of current endonuclease-boosted gene repair since most sites of a disease gene have to be targeted with specific sets of designed nucleases. Therefore, current strategic choices focus on polyvalent ZFNs/homing endonucleases that target mutation hotspots and nearby mutation sites. In order to reach full clinical potentialities, vectorization of therapeutic DNA

might need optimization (see Bertolotti, 2004a and 2004b). An alternate approach is related to our autologous cybridization arm (see below).

4.1.2. *Cybridization: transmitochondrial stem cells for mtDNA diseases*

Due to the current lack of effective techniques for intramitochondrial genetic engineering, gene targeting is not amenable to mtDNA and wild-type mtDNA sequence cannot be restored in mtDNA mutants. Therefore, we have devised a strategy in which autologous transmitochondrial stem cells are generated *ex vivo* and then returned to the patient as in standard long-term stem cell gene therapy (Bertolotti, 2005). Current approaches rely on nuclear transgenes for allotopic expression of mtDNA-encoded proteins (e.g., Owen *et al.*, 2000; Manfredi *et al.*, 2002; Guy *et al.*, 2002) or for mutant mtDNA cleavage/methylation (e.g., Srivastava and Moraes, 2001; Tanaka *et al.*, 2002; Minczuk *et al.*, 2006), culminating in the mtDNA-free mitochondria concept in which all mtDNA-encoded proteins are converted into allotopic gene products (Zullo, 2001). Unlike these approaches, stem cell cybrid gene therapy can accommodate all types of mtDNA mutations and is not hampered by random-integration hazards and transgene dysregulations associated with current long-term nuclear gene therapy (Bertolotti, 2005).

In this approach, patients' stem cells are cured from their mtDNA content by a transient growth period in the presence of rhodamine 6G and then repopulated by wild-type mitochondria through fusion with relevant enucleated cells (cytoplasts), thereby generating cybrids which are true transmitochondrial stem cells (Bertolotti, 2005). Together with their differentiating/differentiated progeny, cybrid stem cells are thus expected to convert their gain in energy metabolism efficiency into a selective *in vivo* growth advantage over resident mtDNA mutant cognate cells. Like the nuclear γ C transgene in the seminal X-linked SCID trial (Cavazzana-Calvo *et al.*, 2000), wild-type mtDNA could thus drive effective therapeutic repopulation dynamics of autologous engineered stem cells and differentiated derivatives into appropriate niches and relevant tissues/organs of patients, respectively. Importantly enough, magnification of this repopulating/regenerative capability will be under the control of the transient regenerative gene therapy arm of our stem cell gene therapy platform (see above).

4.1.3. *Genomic homeostasis and hazard-free custom site-specific integrative gene therapy*

The aforescribed approaches are thus ideal to tackle inherited diseases, since the mutant nuclear genome can be repaired by gene targeting and

the wild-type mitochondrial genome (mtDNA) substituted for its mutant counterpart by cybridization, thereby restoring a perfect wild-type genomic homeostasis in both cases (Bertolotti, 1996, 2005 and 2007). Such an approach eliminates dysregulations and oncogenic hazards that hamper random integration of conventional or allotopic transgenes into host chromosomal DNA (Bertolotti, 1998 and 2005). Importantly enough, for other long-term stem cell gene therapy applications (mtDNA-independent acquired and degenerative/aging disorders), the hot-off-the-press gene targeting breakthrough achievement that culminated in high-efficiency integration of an ≈ 8 kb transgene (Moehle *et al.*, 2007) opens a promising custom site-specific integrative gene therapy era in which therapeutic transgenes can be integrated at selected hazard-free locations for optimized regulated expression.

4.2. Epigenetic Therapy: Potential Long-Term Gene Inactivation/Activation Through Transient siRNA Gene Therapy

The epigenetic arm of our transient gene therapy branch is aimed at long-term inactivation/activation of endogenous genes through transient expression of promoter-specific siRNAs (Morris *et al.*, 2004; Li *et al.*, 2006; Janowski *et al.*, 2007). Transcriptional gene silencing mediated by siRNAs is tightly sequence-specific (Morris *et al.*, 2004; Castanotto *et al.*, 2005; Suzuki *et al.*, 2005; Ting *et al.*, 2005; Weinberg *et al.*, 2006; Han *et al.*, 2007), thereby necessitating potential patient personalization and, possibly, allele adjustment in heterozygote patients. On the other hand, siRNA (Li *et al.*, 2006; Janowski *et al.*, 2007) and noncoding antisense RNAs (Imamura *et al.*, 2004) are also amenable to converse epigenetic endogenous gene activation. Whether long-term gene silencing (Suzuki *et al.*, 2005) or activation (Janowski *et al.*, 2007) can be achieved under current conditions is not yet clear, and may be associated with efficient promoter methylation (Suzuki *et al.*, 2005).

4.3. Emerging Pluripotent Stem Cells as Potential Universal Drives for Tissue-Specific and Multisystemic Gene Therapy

Emerging adult/postnatal multi/pluripotent stem cells such as MAPCs (multipotent adult progenitor cells; Jiang *et al.*, 2002), MIAMI cells (marrow-isolated adult multilineage inducible cells; D'Ippolito *et al.*, 2004), hBMSCs (human bone marrow multipotent stem cells; Yoon *et al.*, 2005) or maGSCs (multipotent adult germ stem cells; Guan *et al.*, 2006) have an extensive/clonogenic *ex vivo* growth potential, and are therefore amenable to drastic

selective growth conditions. They are thus instrumental in our mitochondrial stem cell production platform for the selective cybrid rescue step (Bertolotti, 2005 and this volume). Such an extended *in vitro* growth potential also opens a promising avenue for emerging selective gene targeting, whereby relevant engineered autologous stem cells are selected/sorted and amplified *ex vivo* in order to compensate for nonclinical gene targeting frequencies incurred when the mutation track is too long or too far from the endonuclease target site (Bertolotti, 2006 and 2007; see Bertolotti, this volume).

Importantly enough, the breakthrough ability of the aforementioned adult/postnatal stem cells to differentiate into cells of the three germ lineages (pluripotency) is an important parameter in the development of our universal autologous stem cell gene therapy platform, since it opens exciting avenues to tackle all tissue-specific pathologies and tissue regeneration needs with a single stem cell population (Bertolotti, 2007; see Serafini and Verfaillie, this volume). In this respect, the pioneering transplantation of MAPCs as a substitute for tissue-specific stem cells (HSCs) with full functional reconstitution of the hematopoietic system stands as a promising proof of concept (Serafini *et al.*, 2007). However, one of the most exciting features of autologous pluripotent cells is that they should be amenable to multisystemic diseases such as progeria or most of the mtDNA diseases (see Bertolotti, this volume). Importantly enough, in order to take full advantage of the extraordinary potential of these cells culminating in multisystemic applications, magnification/optimization of their homing, regenerative, and differentiative abilities will exhaustively depend on the transient regenerative arm of our universal platform. Therefore, thanks to synergistic combinations between the different arms of our autologous stem cell gene therapy platform, we believe that both tissue-specific and multisystemic pathologies/regenerative needs (including mtDNA diseases and aging disorders) can be tackled through pluripotent stem cell repopulation dynamics into appropriate niches (long-term engraftment) and tissues (cell turnover) (Bertolotti, 2005 and 2007).

References

- Aboody KS, Brown A, Rainov NG, *et al.* (2000) Neural stem cells display extensive tropism for pathology in adult brain: evidence from intracranial gliomas. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 97: 12846–51.
- Aboody KS, Bush RA, Garcia E, *et al.* (2006) Development of a tumor-selective approach to treat metastatic cancer. *PLoS ONE* 1: e23.

- Aiuti A, Slavin S, Aker M, *et al.* (2002) Correction of ADA-SCID by stem cell gene therapy combined with nonmyeloablative conditioning. *Science* **296**: 2410–13.
- Al-Hajj M, Wicha MS, Benito-Hernandez A, *et al.* (2003) Prospective identification of tumorigenic breast cancer cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **100**: 3983–88.
- Allen NA, Samulski RJ. (2003) The pros and cons of using the mechanism of AAV site-specific recombination in gene delivery. *Gene Ther Regul* **2**: 121–38.
- Anjos-Afonso F, Bonnet D. (2007) Non-hematopoietic/endothelial SSEA-1+ cells defines the most primitive progenitors in the adult murine bone marrow mesenchymal compartment. *Blood* **109**: 1298–306.
- Asahara T, Murohara T, Sullivan A, *et al.* (1997) Isolation of putative progenitor endothelial cells for angiogenesis. *Science* **275**: 964–67.
- Asahara T, Masuda H, Takahashi T, *et al.* (1999a) Bone marrow origin of endothelial progenitor cells responsible for postnatal vasculogenesis in physiological and pathological neovascularization. *Circ Res* **85**: 221–28.
- Asahara T, Takahashi T, Masuda H, *et al.* (1999b) VEGF contributes to postnatal neovascularization by mobilizing bone marrow-derived endothelial progenitor cells. *EMBO J* **18**: 3964–72.
- Asahara T, Kawamoto A, Kalka C, Masuda H. (2002) Therapeutic potential of bone marrow-derived endothelial progenitor cells for cardiovascular ischemic diseases. *Gene Ther Regul* **1**: 361–74.
- Assmus B, Schächinger V, Dimmeler S, Zeiher AM. (2003) Transplantation of adult progenitor cells in ischemic heart disease: TOPCARE-AMI trial and other current clinical trials. In: *Progress in Gene Therapy: Pioneering Stem Cell/Gene Therapy Trials*, Bertolotti R, Ozawa K, Hammond HK (eds.), VSP, Utrecht, NL, Vol. 2, pp. 233–52.
- Bao S, Wu Q, McLendon RE, *et al.* (2006) Glioma stem cells promote radioresistance by preferential activation of the DNA damage response. *Nature* **444**: 756–60.
- Baum C. (2007) Fourth case of leukaemia in the first SCID-X1 gene therapy trial, and the diversity of gene therapy. http://www.esgct.org/upload/4th_CaseofLeukemia1.pdf
- Bertolotti R. (1996) Recombinase-mediated gene therapy: strategies based on Lesh-Nyhan mutants for gene repair/inactivation using human RAD51 nucleoprotein filaments. *Biogenic Amines* **12**: 487–98.
- Bertolotti R. (1998) Gene therapy 1998: transient or stable minigene expression and gene repair/inactivation. *Biogenic Amines* **14**: 389–406.
- Bertolotti R. (1999) Recombinase-DNA nucleoprotein filaments as vectors for gene repair/inactivation and targeted integration of minigenes. *Biogenic Amines* **15**: 169–95.
- Bertolotti R. (2000a) RNA and gene repair/alteration: from inherited diseases to acquired disorders and tantalizing applications for non-disease conditions. *Gene Ther Regul* **1**: 115–22.
- Bertolotti R. (2000b) Gene therapy: dsDNA-cored presynaptic filaments as vectors for gene repair and targeted integration of transgenes. In: *Progress in Gene Therapy — Basic and Clinical Frontiers*, Bertolotti R, Parvez H, Nagatsu T (eds.), VSP, Utrecht, NL, pp. 513–49.

- Bertolotti R. (2001) Adult and embryonic-like stem cells: toward a major gene therapy breakthrough relying on autologous multipotent stem cells. *Gene Ther Regul* 1: 207–12.
- Bertolotti R. (2002) From therapeutic angiogenesis to myocardium regeneration. *Gene Ther Regul* 1: 287–95.
- Bertolotti R. (2003a) Stem cell gene therapy: breakthrough culminating in combination of *ex vivo* protocols with transient topical gene therapy. *Gene Ther Regul* 2: 91–102.
- Bertolotti R. (2003b) Stem cell gene therapy: a breakthrough combination magnified by therapeutic stem cell homing. In: *Progress in Gene Therapy: Pioneering Stem Cell/Gene Therapy Trials*, Bertolotti R, Ozawa K, Hammond HK (eds.), VSP, Utrecht, NL, Vol. 2, pp. 1–31.
- Bertolotti R. (2003c) From therapeutic angio/vasculogenesis toward myocardium regeneration. In: *Progress in Gene Therapy: Pioneering Stem Cell/Gene Therapy Trials*, Bertolotti R, Ozawa K, Hammond HK (eds.), VSP, Utrecht, NL, Vol. 2, pp. 253–73.
- Bertolotti R. (2004a) Zinc finger nuclease-boosted gene targeting and synergistic transient regenerative gene therapy for long-term stem cell gene therapy. *Biogenic Amines* 18: 503–38.
- Bertolotti R. (2004b) Zinc finger nuclease-boosted gene targeting: toward clinical gene repair/alteration and custom site-specific integrative gene therapy. *Gene Ther Regul* 2: 177–89.
- Bertolotti R. (2005) Transmitochondrial stem cells: from mouse models of mtDNA diseases to autologous cybrid stem cell gene therapy. *Gene Ther Regul* 2: 275–82.
- Bertolotti R. (2005a) Zinc finger nuclease-boosted gene targeting and synergistic transient regenerative stem cell gene therapy: toward clinical gene repair/alteration and custom site-specific integrative gene therapy. *Mol Ther* 11: S148–49.
- Bertolotti R. (2006) Stem cell gene therapy: toward a universal platform relying on custom endonuclease-boosted gene targeting, cybridization, transient regenerative/epigenetic gene therapy and synergistic combinations. *Mol Ther* 13: S392.
- Bertolotti R. (2007) Autologous stem cell gene therapy: toward a universal platform for personalized therapy. *Gene Ther Regul* 3: 1–14.
- Blaese RM, Culver K, Miller AD, *et al.* (1995) T lymphocyte-directed gene therapy for ADA-SCID: initial trial results after 4 years. *Science* 270: 475–80.
- Bonnet D, Dick JE. (1997) Human acute myeloid leukemia is organized as a hierarchy that originates from a primitive hematopoietic cell. *Nat Med* 3: 730–37.
- Borchiellini P, Angulo JF, Bertolotti R. (1997) Genes encoding mammalian recombinases: cloning approach with anti-RecA antibodies. *Biogenic Amines* 13: 195–215.
- Bordignon C, Notarangelo LD, Nobili N, *et al.* (1995) Gene therapy in peripheral blood lymphocytes and bone marrow for ADA-immunodeficient patients. *Science* 270: 470–75.

- Brehm M, Zeus T, Strauer BE. (2003) Autologous mononuclear bone marrow cell transplantation for myocardial infarction: first pilot study and other pioneering trials. In: *Progress in Gene Therapy: Pioneering Stem Cell/Gene Therapy Trials*, Bertolotti R, Ozawa K, Hammond HK (eds.), VSP, Utrecht, NL, Vol. 2, pp. 203–31.
- Capecchi M. (1989) The new mouse genetics: altering the genome by gene targeting. *Trends Genet* 5: 70–76.
- Carlo-Stella C, Lavazza C, Di Nicola M, *et al.* (2006) Antitumor activity of human CD34(+) cells expressing membrane-bound tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand. *Hum Gene Ther* 17: 1225–40.
- Castanotto D, Tommasi S, Li M, *et al.* (2005) Short hairpin RNA-directed cytosine (CpG) methylation of the RASSF1A gene promoter in HeLa cells. *Mol Ther* 12: 179–83.
- Cavazzana-Calvo M, Hacein-Bey S, de Saint Basile G, *et al.* (2000) Gene therapy of human severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID)-X1 disease. *Science* 288: 669–72.
- Clarke MF, Dick JE, Dirks PB, *et al.* (2006) Cancer stem cells — perspectives on current status and future directions: AACR Workshop on Cancer Stem Cells. *Cancer Res* 66: 9339–44.
- Collins AT, Berry PA, Hyde C, *et al.* (2005) Prospective identification of tumorigenic prostate cancer stem cells. *Cancer Res* 65: 10946–51.
- D'Ippolito G, Diabira S, Howard GA, *et al.* (2004) Marrow-isolated adult multilineage inducible (MIAMI) cells, a unique population of postnatal young and old human cells with extensive expansion and differentiation potential. *J Cell Sci* 117: 2971–81.
- Dvorak HF. (1986) Tumors: wounds that do not heal: similarities between tumor stroma generation and wound healing. *N Engl J Med* 315: 1650–59.
- Elliott B, Richardson C, Winderbaum J, *et al.* (1998) Gene conversion tracts from double-strand break repair in mammalian cells. *Mol Cell Biol* 18: 93–101.
- Ferrari N, Glod J, Lee J, *et al.* (2003) Bone marrow-derived, endothelial progenitor-like cells as angiogenesis-selective gene-targeting vectors. *Gene Ther* 10: 647–56.
- Folkman J. (1971) Tumor angiogenesis: therapeutic implications. *N Engl J Med* 285: 1182–86.
- Fuyuno I, Cyranoski D. (2006) Doubts over biochemist's data expose holes in Japanese fraud laws. *Nature* 439: 514.
- Galli R, Binda E, Orfanelli U, *et al.* (2004) Isolation and characterization of tumorigenic, stem-like neural precursors from human glioblastoma. *Cancer Res* 64: 7011–21.
- Gaspar HB, Parsley KL, Howe S, *et al.* (2004) Gene therapy of X-linked severe combined immunodeficiency by use of a pseudotyped gammaretroviral vector. *Lancet* 364: 2181–87.

- Guan K, Nayernia K, Maier LS, *et al.* (2006) Pluripotency of spermatogonial stem cells from adult mouse testis. *Nature* **440**: 1199–203.
- Guy J, Qi X, Pallotti F, *et al.* (2002) Rescue of a mitochondrial deficiency causing Leber hereditary optic neuropathy. *Ann Neurol* **52**: 534–42.
- Hacein-Bey-Abina S, Le Deist F, Carlier F, *et al.* (2002) Sustained correction of X-linked severe combined immunodeficiency by *ex vivo* gene therapy. *N Engl J Med* **346**: 1185–93.
- Hacein-Bey-Abina S, von Kalle C, Schmidt M, *et al.* (2003a) A serious adverse event after successful gene therapy for X-linked severe combined immunodeficiency. *N Engl J Med* **348**: 255–56.
- Hacein-Bey-Abina S, Von Kalle C, Schmidt M, *et al.* (2003b) LMO2-associated clonal T cell proliferation in two patients after gene therapy for SCID-X1. *Science* **302**: 415–19.
- Hall B, Dembinski J, Sasser AK, *et al.* (2007) Mesenchymal stem cells in cancer: tumor-associated fibroblasts and cell-based delivery vehicles. *Int J Hematol* **86**: 8–16.
- Han J, Kim D, Morris KV. (2007) Promoter-associated RNA is required for RNA-directed transcriptional gene silencing in human cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **104**: 12422–27.
- Imamura T, Yamamoto S, Ohgane J, *et al.* (2004) Non-coding RNA directed DNA demethylation of Sphk1 CpG island. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **322**: 593–600.
- Iwaguro H, Yamaguchi J, Kalka C, *et al.* (2002) Endothelial progenitor cell vascular endothelial growth factor gene transfer for vascular regeneration. *Circulation* **105**: 732–38.
- Janowski BA, Younger ST, Hardy DB, *et al.* (2007) Activating gene expression in mammalian cells with promoter-targeted duplex RNAs. *Nat Chem Biol* **3**: 166–73.
- Jiang Y, Jahagirdar BN, Reinhardt RL, *et al.* (2002) Pluripotency of mesenchymal stem cells derived from adult marrow. *Nature* **418**: 41–49.
- Jin L, Hope KJ, Zhai Q, *et al.* (2006) Targeting of CD44 eradicates human acute myeloid leukemic stem cells. *Nat Med* **12**: 1167–74.
- Kalka C, Masuda H, Takahashi T, *et al.* (2000a) Vascular endothelial growth factor(165) gene transfer augments circulating endothelial progenitor cells in human subjects. *Circ Res* **86**: 1198–202.
- Kalka C, Masuda H, Takahashi T, *et al.* (2000b) Transplantation of *ex vivo* expanded endothelial progenitor cells for therapeutic neovascularization. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **97**: 3422–27.
- Kalka C, Tehrani H, Laudenberg B, *et al.* (2000c) VEGF gene transfer mobilizes endothelial progenitor cells in patients with inoperable coronary disease. *Ann Thorac Surg* **70**: 829–34.
- Kawamoto A, Gwon H-C, Iwaguro H, *et al.* (2001) Therapeutic potential of *ex vivo* expanded endothelial progenitor cells for myocardial ischemia. *Circulation* **103**: 634–37.

- Kawasaki H, Taira K. (2004) Induction of DNA methylation and gene silencing by short interfering RNAs in human cells. *Nature* **431**: 211–17.
- Kocher AA, Schuster MD, Szabolcs MJ, *et al.* (2001) Neovascularization of ischemic myocardium by human bone-marrow-derived angioblasts prevents cardiomyocyte apoptosis, reduces remodeling and improves cardiac function. *Nat Med* **7**: 430–36.
- Kohn DB, Weinberg KI, Nolta JA, *et al.* (1995) Engraftment of gene-modified cells from umbilical cord blood in neonates with adenosine deaminase deficiency. *Nat Med* **1**: 1017–26.
- Komarova S, Kawakami Y, Stoff-Khalili MA, *et al.* (2006) Mesenchymal progenitor cells as cellular vehicles for delivery of oncolytic adenoviruses. *Mol Cancer Ther* **5**: 755–66.
- Krause DS, Lazarides K, von Andrian UH, Van Etten RA. (2006) Requirement for CD44 in homing and engraftment of BCR-ABL-expressing leukemic stem cells. *Nat Med* **12**: 1175–80.
- Lapidot T, Sirard C, Vormoor J, *et al.* (1994) A cell initiating human acute myeloid leukaemia after transplantation into SCID mice. *Nature* **367**: 645–48.
- Li C, Heidt DG, Dalerba P, *et al.* (2007) Identification of pancreatic cancer stem cells. *Cancer Res* **67**: 1030–37.
- Li LC, Okino ST, Zhao H, *et al.* (2006) Small dsRNAs induce transcriptional activation in human cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **103**: 17337–42.
- Lyden D, Hattori K, Dias S, *et al.* (2001) Impaired recruitment of bone-marrow-derived endothelial and hematopoietic precursor cells blocks tumor angiogenesis and growth. *Nat Med* **7**: 1194–201.
- Manfredi G, Fu J, Ojaimi J, *et al.* (2002) Rescue of a deficiency in ATP synthesis by transfer of MTATP6, a mitochondrial DNA-encoded gene, to the nucleus. *Nat Genet* **30**: 394–99.
- Matsubara H. (2003) Therapeutic angiogenesis for cardiac and peripheral vascular diseases by autologous bone marrow cell transplantation. In: *Progress in Gene Therapy, Vol. 2: Pioneering Stem Cell/Gene Therapy Trials*, Bertolotti R, Ozawa K, Hammond HK (eds.), VSP, Utrecht, NL, Vol. 2, pp. 181–201.
- Miller JC, Urnov FD, Lee Y-L, *et al.* (2005) Development of zinc finger nucleases for therapeutic gene correction of sickle cell anemia. *Mol Ther* **11**: S35.
- Minczuk M, Papworth MA, Kolasinska P, *et al.* (2006) Sequence-specific modification of mitochondrial DNA using a chimeric zinc finger methylase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **103**: 19689–94.
- Moehle EA, Rock JM, Lee YL, *et al.* (2007) Targeted gene addition into a specified location in the human genome using designed zinc finger nucleases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **104**: 3055–60.
- Moore XL, Lu J, Sun L, *et al.* (2004) Endothelial progenitor cells' "homing" specificity to brain tumors. *Gene Ther* **11**: 811–18.
- Morris KV, Chan SW, Jacobsen SE, Looney DJ. (2004) Small interfering RNA-induced transcriptional gene silencing in human cells. *Science* **305**: 1289–92.

- O'Brien CA, Pollett A, Gallinger S, Dick JE. (2007) A human colon cancer cell capable of initiating tumour growth in immunodeficient mice. *Nature* **445**: 106–10.
- Olivares EC, Calos MP. (2003) Phage ϕ C31 integrase-mediated site-specific integration for gene therapy. *Gene Ther Regul* **2**: 103–20.
- Orlic D, Kajstura J, Chimenti S, *et al.* (2001) Bone marrow cells regenerate infarcted myocardium. *Nature* **410**: 701–705.
- Owen R IV, Lewin AP, Peel A, *et al.* (2000) Recombinant adeno-associated virus vector-based gene transfer for defects in oxidative metabolism. *Hum Gene Ther* **11**: 2067–78.
- Patrawala L, Calhoun-Davis T, Schneider-Broussard R, Tang DG. (2007) Hierarchical organization of prostate cancer cells in xenograft tumors: the CD44⁺ α 2 β 1⁺ cell population is enriched in tumor-initiating cells. *Cancer Res* **67**: 6796–805.
- Piccirillo SGM, Reynolds BA, Zanetti N, *et al.* (2006) Bone morphogenetic proteins inhibit the tumorigenic potential of human brain tumour-initiating cells. *Nature* **444**: 761–65.
- Porteus MH. (2006) Mammalian gene targeting with designed zinc finger nucleases. *Mol Ther* **13**: 438–46.
- Reya T, Morrison SJ, Clarke MF, Weissman IL. (2001) Stem cells, cancer, and cancer stem cells. *Nature* **414**: 105–11.
- Reyes M, Dudek A, Jahagirdar B, *et al.* (2002) Origin of endothelial progenitors in human postnatal bone marrow. *J Clin Invest* **109**: 337–46.
- Ricci-Vitiani L, Lombardi DG, Pilozzi E, *et al.* (2007) Identification and expansion of human colon-cancer-initiating cells. *Nature* **445**: 111–15.
- Serafini M, Dylla SJ, Oki M, *et al.* (2007) Hematopoietic reconstitution by multipotent adult progenitor cells: precursors to long-term hematopoietic stem cells. *J Exp Med* **204**: 129–39.
- Shah K. (2007) Neural stem cells and armed derivatives: fate and therapeutic potential in the brain. *Gene Ther Regul* **3**: 91–109.
- Shah K, Bureau E, Kim DE, *et al.* (2005) Glioma therapy and real-time imaging of neural precursor cell migration and tumor regression. *Ann Neurol* **57**: 34–41.
- Singh SK, Clarke ID, Terasaki M, *et al.* (2003) Identification of a cancer stem cell in human brain tumors. *Cancer Res* **63**: 5821–28.
- Singh SK, Hawkins C, Clarke ID, *et al.* (2004) Identification of human brain tumour initiating cells. *Nature* **432**: 396–401.
- Srivastava S, Moraes CT. (2001) Manipulating mitochondrial DNA heteroplasmy by a mitochondrially targeted restriction endonuclease. *Hum Mol Genet* **10**: 3093–99.
- Studeny M, Marini FC, Champlin RE, *et al.* (2002) Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells as vehicles for interferon-beta delivery into tumors. *Cancer Res* **62**: 3603–608.
- Suzuki K, Shijuuku T, Fukamachi T, *et al.* (2005) Prolonged transcriptional silencing and CpG methylation induced by siRNAs targeted to the HIV-1 promoter region. *J RNAi Gene Silencing* **1**: 66–78.

- Takahashi T, Kalka C, Masuda H, *et al.* (1999) Ischemia- and cytokine-induced mobilization of bone marrow-derived endothelial progenitor cells for neovascularization. *Nat Med* **5**: 434–38.
- Tanaka M, Borgeld HJ, Zhang J, *et al.* (2002) Gene therapy for mitochondrial disease by delivering restriction endonuclease SmaI into mitochondria. *J Biomed Sci* **9**: 534–41.
- Ting AH, Schuebel KE, Herman JG, Baylin SB. (2005) Short double-stranded RNA induces transcriptional gene silencing in human cancer cells in the absence of DNA methylation. *Nat Genet* **37**: 906–10.
- Urnov FD, Miller JC, Lee YL, *et al.* (2005) Highly efficient endogenous human gene correction using designed zinc-finger nucleases. *Nature* **435**: 646–51.
- Weinberg MS, Villeneuve LM, Ehsani A, *et al.* (2006) The antisense strand of small interfering RNAs directs histone methylation and transcriptional gene silencing in human cells. *RNA* **12**: 256–62.
- Wilkinson TA, Tan W, Chow SA. (2005) Safe delivery of therapeutic genes into specific chromosomal sites using engineered retroviral integrase. *Gene Ther Regul* **2**: 369–85.
- Yip S, Sabetrasekh R, Sidman RL, Snyder EY. (2006) Neural stem cells as novel cancer therapeutic vehicles. *Eur J Cancer* **42**: 1298–308.
- Yoon YS, Wecker A, Heyd L, *et al.* (2005) Clonally expanded novel multipotent stem cells from human bone marrow regenerate myocardium after myocardial infarction. *J Clin Invest* **115**: 326–38.
- Zullo SJ. (2001) Gene therapy of mitochondrial DNA mutations: a brief, biased history of allotropic expression in mammalian cells. *Semin Neurol* **21**: 327–35.